



Combined NEPA/SEPA

Environmental Impact Statement Proposed Gateway Pacific Terminal/Custer Spur

Comment form

Please submit your comments on the Gateway Pacific Terminal/Custer Spur Environmental Impact Statement by January 21, 2013 to be included in the scoping summary report. Comments can also be submitted online at www.eisgatewaypacificwa.gov.

What part of the proposal does your comment relate to?

- Vessel
- Rail
- Industrial site
- Multiple/not listed

Does your comment relate to any of the following topic areas? (check all that apply)

Human environment

- Noise
- Air quality
- Human health
- Traffic or safety
- Other human environment topic

Natural environment

- Wildlife or vegetation
- Marine species, fish or fisheries
- Wetlands or streams
- Water quality
- Other natural environment topic

EIS Process

- Alternatives
- Areas of potential effect
- EIS regulatory process
- Other EIS process topic

Please share your comments below: (comments can also be attached to this form)

Air quality will be impacted negatively the entire route from the mines to the port. Traffic will be severely congested given the length of the trains and quantity. The two refineries, Anacortes & Cherry Point already impact the local environment negatively. Adding one more highly questionable activity of loading and shipping coal puts the marine species, fish and fisheries at even greater risk - Given the population density between Seattle and Bellingham it is a very bad choice for a shipping point based on coal.

First name: Jim

Last name: MORGAN

Email: _____

Address: P.O. Box 93

City, State, Zip: Bow, WA. 98232

Phone: 360-766-4066

Would you like to be added to the mailing list? Yes No

Note: Any information provided to the agencies will be posted on the website and may be released to a third party as part of the agencies' record for this action. This includes the release of identifiable personal information such as personal name, address, phone number, etc., that is provided in the response.



Combined NEPA/SEPA

Environmental Impact Statement

Proposed Gateway Pacific Terminal/Custer Spur

Place
Stamp
Here

GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
c/o CH2MHILL
1100 112th Avenue NE, Suite 400
Bellevue, WA 98004



Combined NEPA/SEPA

Environmental Impact Statement Proposed Gateway Pacific Terminal/Custer Spur

Comment form

Please submit your comments on the Gateway Pacific Terminal/Custer Spur Environmental Impact Statement by January 23, 2013 to be included in the scoping summary report. Comments can also be submitted online at www.eisgatewaypacificwa.gov.

What part of the proposal does your comment relate to?

- Vessel
- Rail
- Industrial site
- Multiple/not listed

Does your comment relate to any of the following topic areas? (check all that apply)

Human environment

- Noise
- Air quality
- Human health
- Traffic or safety
- Other human environment topic

Natural environment

- Wildlife or vegetation
- Marine species, fish or fisheries
- Wetlands or streams
- Water quality
- Other natural environment topic

EIS Process

- Alternatives
- Areas of potential effect
- EIS regulatory process
- Other EIS process topic

Please share your comments below: (comments can also be attached to this form)

I am very concerned about the loss of jobs and general downgrading of our communities. After the economy downturn, we have worked very hard to promote local economies - shops, restaurants, studios, offices, ... only to have now trains of coal plowing through. The jobs created by GPT/BNSF have to be only measured next to the jobs lost in local preschools, cafes, stores, spas etc. due to nuisance 400 jobs elsewhere along the way makes for more resilient community, than 400 jobs at one site. When GPT goes out of business, we will have 400 unemployed, plus a hazardous site to clean up with taxpayers money.



Combined NEPA/SEPA

Environmental Impact Statement Proposed Gateway Pacific Terminal/Custer Spur

Comment form

Please submit your comments on the Gateway Pacific Terminal/Custer Spur Environmental Impact Statement by January 21, 2013 to be included in the scoping summary report. Comments can also be submitted online at www.eisgatewaypacificwa.gov.

What part of the proposal does your comment relate to?

- Vessel
- Rail
- Industrial site
- Multiple/not listed

Does your comment relate to any of the following topic areas? (check all that apply)

Human environment

- Noise
- Air quality
- Human health
- Traffic or safety
- Other human environment topic

Natural environment

- Wildlife or vegetation
- Marine species, fish or fisheries
- Wetlands or streams
- Water quality
- Other natural environment topic

EIS Process

- Alternatives
- Areas of potential effect
- EIS regulatory process
- Other EIS process topic

Please share your comments below: (comments can also be attached to this form)

We live in Blanchard, several hundred feet from the rail. There are four R/R crossings within one mile. Each train blares its horn about 4 times as it approaches a crossing. That is 300 extra body-piercing sounds on top of the existing traffic. NOISE seriously impacts human sleeping, health and well-being. In my case I have almost lost my baby. 35 weeks of normal gestation, I was walking through Blanchard. Suddenly the gates went down. A train-series of blasts - strange feeling in my body. By the time I arrived home I was severely bleeding. The baby had to be delivered through emergency C-section within 2 hours. A coincidence?



Combined NEPA/SEPA

Environmental Impact Statement Proposed Gateway Pacific Terminal/Custer Spur

Comment form

Please submit your comments on the Gateway Pacific Terminal/Custer Spur Environmental Impact Statement by January 21, 2013 to be included in the scoping summary report. Comments can also be submitted online at www.eisgatewaypacificwa.gov.

What part of the proposal does your comment relate to?

- Vessel
- Rail
- Industrial site
- Multiple/not listed

Does your comment relate to any of the following topic areas? (check all that apply)

Human environment

- Noise
- Air quality
- Human health
- Traffic or safety
- Other human environment topic

Natural environment

- Wildlife or vegetation
- Marine species, fish or fisheries
- Wetlands or streams
- Water quality
- Other natural environment topic

EIS Process

- Alternatives
- Areas of potential effect
- EIS regulatory process
- Other EIS process topic

Please share your comments below: (comments can also be attached to this form)

The entire idea is wrong and outdated
 Burning fossil fuel in order to move fossil fuel
 half-way around the world?!
 Because China has their own coal deposits,
 the only reason behind this proposal is greed.
 PLEASE, do not allow our health, lives, commu-
 nities and our beautiful Pacific Northwest
 be jeopardized just so that few rich people
 can become even richer.

First name: Kveta
Last name: Morgan
Email: flora@pionernet.net
Address: 3562 Cluckanut Dr, P.O. Box 93
City, State, Zip: Bow, WA 98232
Phone: (360) 766 4066

Would you like to be added to the mailing list? Yes No

Note: Any information provided to the agencies will be posted on the website and may be released to a third party as part of the agencies' record for this action. This includes the release of identifiable personal information such as personal name, address, phone number, etc., that is provided in the response.



Combined NEPA/SEPA

Environmental Impact Statement
Proposed Gateway Pacific Terminal/Custer Spur

Place
Stamp
Here

GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
c/o CH2MHILL
1100 112th Avenue NE, Suite 400
Bellevue, WA 98004



Combined NEPA/SEPA

Environmental Impact Statement Proposed Gateway Pacific Terminal/Custer Spur

Comment form

Please submit your comments on the Gateway Pacific Terminal/Custer Spur Environmental Impact Statement by January 21, 2013 to be included in the scoping summary report. Comments can also be submitted online at www.eisgatewaypacificwa.gov.

What part of the proposal does your comment relate to?

- Vessel
- Rail
- Industrial site
- Multiple/not listed

Does your comment relate to any of the following topic areas? (check all that apply)

Human environment

- Noise
- Air quality
- Human health
- Traffic or safety
- Other human environment topic

Natural environment

- Wildlife or vegetation
- Marine species, fish or fisheries
- Wetlands or streams
- Water quality
- Other natural environment topic

EIS Process

- Alternatives
- Areas of potential effect
- EIS regulatory process
- Other EIS process topic

Please share your comments below: (comments can also be attached to this form)

The Strait of Juan de Fuca is large, but so are the marine mammals living in it. They travel & feed within large territory.

I am very concerned about the entire ecosystem and food chain. Increased vessel traffic is going to impact the species' navigation, breeding and feeding patterns.

There are cargo ships. They are probably not hauling back merchandise; how do you assure that the ballast doesn't introduce toxic/invasive species to our waters??