

What are you willing to lose?



COAL TRAINFACTS.ORG

I/we live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?


BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?

ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?

EVERETT WA 982
OCT 2012 PM 3 L



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511



WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

Name(s): SUZIE THOMAS Date: 10/16/12 Signature(s): Suzie Thomas

I/we live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?

BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

THOMAS J DONNELLY M.D

10/11/12

Robin Donnelly

10/11/12

Name(s):

Date:

Signature(s):

Robin Donnelly
Robin Donnelly

ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?

EVERETT WA 982
OCT 2012 PM 3 T



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511



I/we live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?

BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?


ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?

EVERETT WA 982

OCT 2012 PM 3 7



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511



WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

Marty Robinson
Name(s):

10/12/12
Date:

[Signature]
Signature(s):

I/we live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?

BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?

ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?

EVERETT WA 982
OCT 2012 PM 4 T



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511



WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

Diane Bear
Name(s): *Bobel Ar Goldsmith*

10/15/2012
Date:
9800434511

MMA Bear
Signature(s): *[Signature]*

I/we live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?

BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

Joyce Kruihof

Name(s):

ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?

Date: 10/19/12

Joyce Kruihof

Signature(s):

EVERETT WA 982

OCT 2012 PM 4 T



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511



I/we live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?


BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?

ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?

EVERETT WA 982
15 OCT 2012 PM 4 T



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511



WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

Name(s): Cheryl Danskin Date: 10-15-12 Signature(s): Cheryl Danskin

I/we live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?

BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?

ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?

EVERETT WA 982

OCT 2012 PM 4 L



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511



WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

Stacey Carpenter

Name(s):

10/15/2012

Date:

Stacey Carpenter

Signature(s):

I/we live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?

BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?

ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?



**GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511**



WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

Bruce Carr Merely Amos
Name(s):

Oct 12 / 2012
Date:

Signature(s):

I/we live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?

BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?

EVERETT WA 982

15 OCT 2012 PM 4 L



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511




Name(s):

Date:

Signature(s):

980044511



/we live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. /we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?

BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?

EVERETT WA 982

25 OCT 2012 PM 4 L



**GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511**



Name(s):

Date:

Signature(s):



I/we live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?

BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?


WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?

EVERETT, WA 982
15 OCT 2012 PM 4 T



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511



Name(s):

Date:
980044511

Signature(s):



I/we live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?

BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?



**GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511**



Name(s):

Date:

Signature(s):

I/we live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?

BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?



**GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511**



Name(s):

Date:

Signature(s):

4-1-12
n an island in the Salish Sea, where
would make over 950 transits per
the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be
built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental
Impact Statement include the entire coal
transportation corridor so that communities
along the rail and marine routes are given due
consideration. Questions that concern me, and
which objective, rigorous and comprehensive
studies should address include:

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine
vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers
and other cargo ships in the area? What would
be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil
and/or coal spill?

BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing
and recreational boating be affected by the
additional capesize and Panamax coal ships
in our waters? By how much will accident and
collision rates increase?

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would
the noise, pollution and physical presence of
the additional huge vessels affect our orca
populations? How would construction and
operation of the coal port and the continuous
transiting of coal ships affect other marine
mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that
supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction
and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres
of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage;
and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution,
noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab,
herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How
would lost beauty, decreased orca populations,
damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways
affect our tourism industry? How would property
values be affected? How much will we, the
taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and
indirectly associated with GPT?

EVERETT WA 982

16 OCT 2012 PM 3 T



**GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511**



Name(s):

Date:

Signature(s):

I/we live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?

BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?


WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?

EVERETT WA 982
OCT 2012 PM 3 1



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511



Name(s):

Date:

Signature(s):

I/we live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?

BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?


SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?

EVERETT WA 982

OCT 2012 PM 4:1

3c USA



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511



Name(s):

Date:

Signature(s):

I/we live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?

BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?

ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?



425

**GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511**



WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

Name(s):

Date:

Signature(s):

I/we live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?

BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?

ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?

EVERETT WA 982

OCT 2012 PM 4 L



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511



WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

Name(s):

Date:

5600434511

Signature(s):



I/we live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?

BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?

ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port, up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage, and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?

GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511



WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

Irene Blomberg
[Signature]

10/12/12
10-12-12

Irene Blomberg
[Signature]

Name(s):

Date:

Signature(s):

I/we live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?

BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?

ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

Name(s): Cliff Harby Date: 10/15/2012 Signature(s): Cliff Harby



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511



I/we live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?

BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?

EVERETT WA 982

15 OCT 2012 PM 4 L



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511



Jonathan Wolfson & Sharlene Smith

Name(s):

10/15/12

Date:

Jonathan Wolfson
Sharlene D Smith

Signature(s):

I/we live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?

BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?

EVERETT WA 982

13 OCT 2012 PM 4 L



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511



Beverly Leyman

Name(s):

10-12-12

Date:

Beverly Leyman

Signature(s):

I/we live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?

BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?

ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?


SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

EVERETT WA 982

15 OCT 2012 PM 4 L



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511



Moriah - Daniel Armstrong

Name(s): *423 Shaker Ln.
Eastsound WA 98245*

Date: *08/12
2012*

Signature(s): *Moriah Armstrong
Daniel Armstrong*

I/we live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?

BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

J. Michael Linehan

Name(s):

10/15/17

Date:


J. Michael Linehan

Signature(s):

ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511



I/we live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:


OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?

BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?

ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?



**GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511**



WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

Dr. Barbara Wollman
P.O. Box 3088
Friday Harbor, WA 98250

10/14/12

Date:

Signature(s):

Name(s):

I/we live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?

BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

Patricia Kuentzel

Name(s):

10/15/2012

Date:

Patricia Kuentzel


Signature(s):

ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?

EVERETT WA 982
15 OCT 2012 PM 4 T



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511



/we live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. /we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?

BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?

MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

Marcy Reichley
Mothy Higgins
 Name(s):

10/13/12
10/13/12
 Date:

Nancy Reichley
Mothy Higgins
 Signature(s):



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511



W/e live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:


OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?

BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?

ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511



WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

Name(s):

Gretchen D. Huse

Date:

Oct. 15, 2012

Signature(s):

I/we live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?

BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?

ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511



WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

Dan + Linda Amstutz
Name(s):

10/14/12
Date:
98004-4511

[Signature]
Signature(s):
Linda Amstutz

/we live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. ~~/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:~~

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?

BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?


WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?

EVERETT WA 982
OCT 2012 PM 4 T



**GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511**



Name(s): Vicki Griebling

Date: 10/15/12

Signature(s): Vicki Griebling

I/we live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?


BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?

ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?

EVERETT WA 982
13 OCT 2012 PM 4 1



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511



WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

Name(s): Shelley Shelton-Wilson Date: 10/13/12 Signature(s): Shelley Shelton-Wilson

98004-4511

I/we live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?

BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:


ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?

EVERETT WA 982

OCT 2012 PM 4 L



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511



Romona Jones

Name(s):

10/13/2012

Date:

980044511

Romona Jones

Signature(s):



I we live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. We request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?


BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?

ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?

EVERETT WA 98201
OCT 2012 PM 3 1



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511



WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

GARY W. + SUSAN M. STERNER
Name(s)

10/16/12
Date:

Gary W. Sterner

Susan M. Sterner

98004-4511



Gary W. Sterner
400 Westside Rd
Friday Harbor, WA 98250



I/we live on _____ and in the Salish Sea, where coal ships will make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?

BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?

EVERETT WA 982
OCT 2012 PM 3 1



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511



Caitlin Marie McEntee


10-16-12

Name(s):

Date:

Signature(s):

98004-4511



I/we live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?

BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?

ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port, up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open near shore storage, and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?

GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511



EVERETT WA WA
WED 17 OCT 2012 PM

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

Holliday Wallace
Name(s):

10-16-2012
Date:

Holliday Wallace
Signature(s):

I/we live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?

BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?

ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port: up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage, and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?

**GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511**



WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

Eric Hall

10/15/12

Eric Hall

Eleanor Fay

10/15/12

Eleanor Fay

Name(s):

Date:

Signature(s):

I/we live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?

BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?

ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

Richard E. Simpson 10-10-2012

Name(s):

Date:

Signature(s):



**GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511**



I/we live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?

BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?

ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?



**GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511**



WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

Name(s):

Betsy Ferguson

Date:

10/15/12

Signature(s):

Betsy B. Ferguson

I/we live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?


BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?

ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?

EVERETT WA 982
OCT 2012 PM 3 L



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511



WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

Daniel & Kimberly Lystrup
Name(s):

10-12-12
Date:
98004-4511

Daniel Lystrup Kimberly Lystrup
Signature(s)
98004-4511

I/we live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?


BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?

ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?

PERMIT NO. 1312
OCT 2012



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511



WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

Alwyn Jones

10-16-12

Alwyn Jones


Name(s):

Date:

Signature(s):

F

11 0025



I/we live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?

BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?

ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511



WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

Christopher M Gray
Karen Gray

10/12/12

10/12/12

Christopher M Gray
Karen Gray

Name(s):

Date:


Signature(s):

1/we live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?

BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:



ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS

the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?



**GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511**



ANDREAS ENDERSEN 10/14/12 Andreas Enderesen
Name(s): Date: Signature(s):

I/we live on an island that is built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:



MALS & BIRDS: How would and physical presence of additional large vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?

**GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511**



WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

Norman, Dana, & Cindi Lund

10-13-12

[Handwritten signatures]

Name(s):

Date:

Signature(s):

I/we live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?

BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?

ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port, up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage, and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?



MON 15 OCT 2012 PM

GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511



WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:



Mary Lou Padbury
37 Henry Rd. # 91
Eastsound, WA 98245

Name(s):

10/14/12
Date:

Mary Lou Padbury
Signature(s)

We live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?

BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

BEN B. FLOYD

Gavin Floyd
Names(s):

10.14.12

10-14-12

Date:

Gavin Floyd
Signature(s):


ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?

EVERETT WA 982

OCT 2012 PM 2 T



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511



I/we live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?

BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:


ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?

EVERETT WA 982

18 OCT 2012 4:41:21



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511



David & Cherill Perera

10/19/2012

Cherill Perera

David Perera

Name(s):

Date:

Signature(s):

9800434511



I/we live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?

BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?

ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?



**GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511**



WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

Alan B Dawson

10.15.2012

Marisa L. Dawson 10.15.2012

Name(s):

Date:

Signature(s):

I/we live on an island in the Salish Sea, coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?

BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?

ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511



WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

AMY F. FERRON 10/18/12 Amy Ferron
Name(s): Date: Signature(s):

live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?

BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?

ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?

EUGENE OR 974

OCT 2012 PM 2 L



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511



WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

Glen Love, Rhoda Love 10/17/12 Glen A. Love Rhoda Love

Name(s):

Date:

Signature(s):

I/we live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?

BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?


WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?

GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511



Name(s):

Date:

Signature(s):

I/we live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?

BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?

EVERETT, WA 982
OCT 2012 PM 2:1



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511



Tracy R BETCHER
Name(s):

16 Oct 12
Date:
980044511

Tracy R Betcher
Signature(s):

I/we live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?

BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

Mark + Mary Jane Anderson
Name(s):

Date:

Walter Anderson
Signature(s):


ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?

EVERETT WA 982

15 OCT 2012 PM 3 T



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511



I/we live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?


BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?

ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?

EVERETT WA 982
OCT 20 2012 PM 2 1



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511



WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

ELSIE PAMUK

HADA LENTZNER

Name(s):


10/16/12


Date:

98004-4511

[Handwritten Signature]

Signature(s):





I/we live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?

BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?

ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?

GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511


WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

Name(s): *Alan + Jane Fintel* Date: *10/12/12* Signature(s): *Alan Fintel*
A. C. F.

I/we live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?


BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511
|||

Name(s): DOROTHY Harmon-Plaister

Date: 10/11/12

Signature(s): Dorothy Harmon-Plaister

I/we live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:


OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?

BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?

ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511



WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

<u>STAN WAGNER</u>	<u>10/17/12</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>
<u>GRETCHEN WAGNER</u>	<u>10/17/12</u>	<u>Gretchen Wagner</u>
Name(s):	Date:	Signature(s):

I/we live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:


OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?

BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?

ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511



WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

Tim NELSON & JANE BAUER-NELSON

Name(s):

10/19/12

Date:

[Handwritten signature]
Jane Bauer-Nelson

Signature(s):

I/we live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?

BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?

ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

Luanne Pasik + Kent Gale

Name(s):

10/17/12

Date:

9800434511


Luanne Pasik Kent Gale

Signature(s):

9800434511

EVERETT WA 982

OCT 2012 PM 3 L



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511



I/we live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?

BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?


WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?

EVERETT WA 982
OCT 2012 14:31 L



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511



JAMES HARDMAN

10/17/12

Name(s):

Date:

Signature(s):

98004-4511



I/we live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?

BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?

ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?

EVERETT WA 982

OCT 2012 PM 4 T



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511



WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

Daphne Morris *10/17/12* *Robert J. Morris*

Name(s): _____ Date: _____ Signature(s): _____
980044511

I/we live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?

BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?


WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?

EVERETT WA 982
OCT 2012 943 L



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511



PETER FROMM 10/ *Peter Fromm*
Name(s): Date: Signature(s):
PO B 95 / DH USA 98004-4511 *98004-4511*

I/we live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?

BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?

ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?

EVERETT WA 982
OCT 2012 PM 4:1



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511



WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

Linda Zerbst

10/15/12

Linda O. Zerbst

Gary P. Zerbst

10/15/2012


Gary P. Zerbst

Lopez Island,
WA

Name(s):

Date:

Signature(s):



I/we live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?


BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?

ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?

EVERETT WA 982
OCT 15 2012 11:12 A



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511



WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

James R Scheib SCHEIB
George Giasullo
Name(s): *Jessica Giasullo*

10/16/12
10/15/12
Date:

James R Scheib
George Giasullo
Signature(s):

I/we live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?


BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?

ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?

SEATTLE WA 98101
17 OCT 2012 PM 5 L



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511



WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

Name(s):

Date:

Signature(s):

Oct 15 '12

Nancy P. Rodgers

I/we live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?

BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?

ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511



WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

JAMES FALCONER

Name(s):

10-17-12

Date:

Signature(s):

I/we live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?

BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?

ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511



WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

SARA HUDSON

10/17/12

Sara Hudson

Name(s):

Date:

Signature(s):

I/we live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?

BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?

ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

Deb Langhans

Keith Kayser

Name(s):

10/17/12

10-17-12

Date:

Deb Langhans

Keith Kayser

Signature(s):

EVERETT WA 982

OCT 2012 PM 3:1



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511



I/we live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?

BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?


WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?

EVERETT WA 982
OCT 2012 PM 4.1



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511



Name(s): *Geoff & Noel Harkey*
Noel Malouglum Harkey

Date: *10.12.12*
10/12/12

Signature(s): *Geoff Harkey*
Noel Malouglum Harkey

I/we live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?

BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?

ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511



WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

Name(s): Ann Jones Date: Oct 18, 2012 Signature(s): Ann Jones 360-376-6140

I/we live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?


BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?

ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?

EVERETT WA 982
OCT 2012 PM-4 T



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511



WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

Pam Jenkins / Pam Jenkins *10/12/2012*

Name(s): Date: Signature(s):

980044511 1100112thAveNortheastSuite400BellevueWA98004

I/we live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?

BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?


WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?

EVERETT WA 982
OCT 2012 PM 4:1



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511



GUYENNE RANKIN


10/12/12

Name(s):

Date:

Signature(s):

98004-4511



I/we live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?

BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?

EVERETT WA 982
OCT 2012 PM 12



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511



KEITH & ANN JONES

10/17/12

Keitha Jones 360-376-6114

Name(s):

Date:

Signature(s):

980044511



I/we live within 1 mile of the BNSF rail line on which up to 18 additional daily coal trains (9 full, 9 empty) would travel, if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement encompass the entire transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

NOISE: How will the noise and vibrations of unusually long, heavy and frequent trains impact property values and the structural integrity of homes and other buildings close to the tracks? How will chronic noise exposure affect the health and quality of life of people living, working, and playing nearby?

TRAFFIC PROBLEMS: How will the coal trains affect motor vehicle traffic, transportation, emergency vehicle response times and the flow of commerce in communities along the rail corridor?


FISHERIES & THE SALISH SEA: How will tourism; boating; collision risks; oil/coal spill risks; salmon, crab and herring fisheries; orca whales; and the

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

general beauty, vitality, and livability of the Salish Sea and environs be affected by coal port construction and operations, and by the over 950 annual transits of immense coal ships?

HUMAN HEALTH & SAFETY: How will cancer, heart disease, asthma and other health risks be affected by air and water pollutions associated with coal transport and export? How will additional rail and ship traffic affect accident and collision rates? Toxic air pollution crosses the Pacific Ocean from Asia to the west coast of the United States; what would be the local public health impacts of Powder River Basin coal combustion in Asia?

COST TO TAXPAYERS: How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs affiliated with coal transport and export? Will such direct and indirect costs include necessary upgrades and additions to rail infrastructure; safety measures; public health expenses; the building of under- and overpasses and other attempts at mitigating adverse impacts; lost local businesses and jobs; damaged tourism trade; and decreased property values?



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington
98004-4511



Name(s): D. OFFINGER

Date: 10/24/2012

Signature(s): [Handwritten Signature]

Name(s):

James L. Perkins

Date:

10/21/12

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?

BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?

ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRD

the noise, pollution and physical impact of the additional huge vessels affecting the populations? How would construction of the coal port and the transportation of coal ships affect mammals, fish, birds, and the fur seals that support them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would

and operation of the coal port; upriver of pulverized coal in open, near-shore and the coal ships themselves (silting, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC

would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded beaches affect our tourism industry? How would the increased traffic affect our tourism industry? How much would the increased traffic affect our tourism industry? How much would taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs indirectly associated with GPT?

I/we live within 1 mile of the BNSF rail line on which up to 18 additional daily coal trains (9 full, 9 empty) would travel, if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement encompass the entire transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

NOISE: How will the noise and vibrations of unusually long, heavy and frequent trains impact property values and the structural integrity of homes and other buildings close to the tracks? How will chronic noise exposure affect the health and quality of life of people living, working, and playing nearby?

TRAFFIC PROBLEMS: How will the coal trains affect motor vehicle traffic, transportation, emergency vehicle response times and the flow of commerce in communities along the rail corridor?

FISHERIES & THE SALISH SEA: How will tourism; boating; collision risks; oil/coal spill risks; salmon, crab and herring fisheries; orca whales; and the

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

general beauty, vitality, and livability of the Salish Sea and environs be affected by coal port construction and operations, and by the over 950 annual transits of immense coal ships?

HUMAN HEALTH & SAFETY: How will cancer, heart disease, asthma and other health risks be affected by air and water pollutions associated with coal transport and export? How will additional rail and ship traffic affect accident and collision rates? Toxic air pollution crosses the Pacific Ocean from Asia to the west coast of the United States; what would be the local public health impacts of Powder River Basin coal combustion in Asia?

COST TO TAXPAYERS: How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs affiliated with coal transport and export? Will such direct and indirect costs include necessary upgrades and additions to rail infrastructure; safety measures; public health expenses; the building of under- and overpasses and other attempts at mitigating adverse impacts; lost local businesses and jobs; damaged tourism trade; and decreased property values?



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington
98004-4511



Name(s): Cheryl Miles

Date: 10/23

Signature(s): Cheryl Miles

I/we live within 1 mile of the BNSF rail line on which up to 18 additional daily coal trains (9 full, 9 empty) would travel, if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement encompass the entire transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

NOISE: How will the noise and vibrations of unusually long, heavy and frequent trains impact property values and the structural integrity of homes and other buildings close to the tracks? How will chronic noise exposure affect the health and quality of life of people living, working, and playing nearby?

TRAFFIC PROBLEMS: How will the coal trains affect motor vehicle traffic, transportation, emergency vehicle response times and the flow of commerce in communities along the rail corridor?

FISHERIES & THE SALISH SEA: How will tourism; boating; collision risks; oil/coal spill risks; salmon, crab and herring fisheries; orca whales; and the

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:


general beauty, vitality, and livability of the Salish Sea and environs be affected by coal port construction and operations, and by the over 950 annual transits of immense coal ships?

HUMAN HEALTH & SAFETY: How will cancer, heart disease, asthma and other health risks be affected by air and water pollutions associated with coal transport and export? How will additional rail and ship traffic affect accident and collision rates? Toxic air pollution crosses the Pacific Ocean from Asia to the west coast of the United States; what would be the local public health impacts of Powder River Basin coal combustion in Asia?

COST TO TAXPAYERS: How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs affiliated with coal transport and export? Will such direct and indirect costs include necessary upgrades and additions to rail infrastructure; safety measures; public health expenses; the building of under- and overpasses and other attempts at mitigating adverse impacts; lost local businesses and jobs; damaged tourism trade; and decreased property values?

SEWERETT WA 98148

25 OCT 2012 PM 21



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington
98004-4511



Vera R. Nowadnick
Name(s):

Oct 24, 2012
Date:

Signature(s):

I/we live within 1 mile of the BNSF rail line on which up to 18 additional daily coal trains (9 full, 9 empty) would travel, if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement encompass the entire transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

NOISE: How will the noise and vibrations of unusually long, heavy and frequent trains impact property values and the structural integrity of homes and other buildings close to the tracks? How will chronic noise exposure affect the health and quality of life of people living, working, and playing nearby?

TRAFFIC PROBLEMS: How will the coal trains affect motor vehicle traffic, transportation, emergency vehicle response times and the flow of commerce in communities along the rail corridor?

FISHERIES & THE SALISH SEA: How will tourism; boating; collision risks; oil/coal spill risks; salmon, crab and herring fisheries; orca whales; and the

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

Daniel A. Iddins

10-23-12

Daniel A. Iddins

Deborah A. Iddins

10-23-12

Deborah A. Iddins

Name(s):

Date:

Signature(s):

general beauty, vitality, and livability of the Salish Sea and environs be affected by coal port construction and operations, and by the over 950 annual transits of immense coal ships?

HUMAN HEALTH & SAFETY: How will cancer, heart disease, asthma and other health risks be affected by air and water pollutions associated with coal transport and export? How will additional rail and ship traffic affect accident and collision rates? Toxic air pollution crosses the Pacific Ocean from Asia to the west coast of the United States; what would be the local public health impacts of Powder River Basin coal combustion in Asia?

COST TO TAXPAYERS: How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs affiliated with coal transport and export? Will such direct and indirect costs include necessary upgrades and additions to rail infrastructure; safety measures; public health expenses; the building of under- and overpasses and other attempts at mitigating adverse impacts; lost local businesses and jobs; damaged tourism trade; and decreased property values?



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington
98004-4511



We live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?

BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:


ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?

EVERETT WA 982

23 OCT 2012 PM 4 L



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511



Name(s): *Lisa Salberry*

Date: 10-19-12

Signature(s): *Lisa Salberry*

I/we live within 1 mile of the BNSF rail line on which up to 18 additional daily coal trains (9 full, 9 empty) would travel, if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement encompass the entire transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

NOISE: How will the noise and vibrations of unusually long, heavy and frequent trains impact property values and the structural integrity of homes and other buildings close to the tracks? How will chronic noise exposure affect the health and quality of life of people living, working, and playing nearby?

TRAFFIC PROBLEMS: How will the coal trains affect motor vehicle traffic, transportation, emergency vehicle response times and the flow of commerce in communities along the rail corridor?

FISHERIES & THE SALISH SEA: How will tourism; boating; collision risks; oil/coal spill risks; salmon, crab and herring fisheries; orca whales; and the

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

Mary Beth V. Aule
Russell W. Rollins

10/20/12

Mary Beth V. Aule
Russell W. Rollins

Name(s):

Date:

Signature(s):

980044511 0025

980044511 0025

general beauty, vitality, and livability of the Salish Sea and environs be affected by coal port construction and operations, and by the over 950 annual transits of immense coal ships?

HUMAN HEALTH & SAFETY: How will cancer, heart disease, asthma and other health risks be affected by air and water pollutions associated with coal transport and export? How will additional rail and ship traffic affect accident and collision rates? Toxic air pollution crosses the Pacific Ocean from Asia to the west coast of the United States; what would be the local public health impacts of Powder River Basin coal combustion in Asia?

COST TO TAXPAYERS: How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs affiliated with coal transport and export? Will such direct and indirect costs include necessary upgrades and additions to rail infrastructure; safety measures; public health expenses; the building of under- and overpasses and other attempts at mitigating adverse impacts; lost local businesses and jobs; damaged tourism trade; and decreased property values?

EVERETT WA 982

23 OCT 2012 PM 4 L



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington
98004-4511



I/we live within 1 mile of the BNSF rail line on which up to 18 additional daily coal trains (9 full, 9 empty) would travel, if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement encompass the entire transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

NOISE: How will the noise and vibrations of unusually long, heavy and frequent trains impact property values and the structural integrity of homes and other buildings close to the tracks? How will chronic noise exposure affect the health and quality of life of people living, working, and playing nearby?

TRAFFIC PROBLEMS: How will the coal trains affect motor vehicle traffic, transportation, emergency vehicle response times and the flow of commerce in communities along the rail corridor?

FISHERIES & THE SALISH SEA: How will tourism; boating; collision risks; oil/coal spill risks; salmon, crab and herring fisheries; orca whales; and the

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

general beauty, vitality, and livability of the Salish Sea and environs be affected by coal port construction and operations, and by the over 950 annual transits of immense coal ships?

HUMAN HEALTH & SAFETY: How will cancer, heart disease, asthma and other health risks be affected by air and water pollutions associated with coal transport and export? How will additional rail and ship traffic affect accident and collision rates? Toxic air pollution crosses the Pacific Ocean from Asia to the west coast of the United States; what would be the local public health impacts of Powder River Basin coal combustion in Asia?

COST TO TAXPAYERS: How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs affiliated with coal transport and export? Will such direct and indirect costs include necessary upgrades and additions to rail infrastructure; safety measures; public health expenses; the building of under- and overpasses and other attempts at mitigating adverse impacts; lost local businesses and jobs; damaged tourism trade; and decreased property values?



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington
98004-4511



PASCHAL J. BARR / DOENSE J. BARR

Name(s):

10-23-

Date:

Paschal J. Barr, Denise J. Barr

Signature(s):

I/we live within 1 mile of the BNSF rail line on which up to 18 additional daily coal trains (9 full, 9 empty) would travel, if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement encompass the entire transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

NOISE: How will the noise and vibrations of unusually long, heavy and frequent trains impact property values and the structural integrity of homes and other buildings close to the tracks? How will chronic noise exposure affect the health and quality of life of people living, working, and playing nearby?

TRAFFIC PROBLEMS: How will the coal trains affect motor vehicle traffic, transportation, emergency vehicle response times and the flow of commerce in communities along the rail corridor?


FISHERIES & THE SALISH SEA: How will tourism; boating; collision risks; oil/coal spill risks; salmon, crab and herring fisheries; orca whales; and the

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

general beauty, vitality, and livability of the Salish Sea and environs be affected by coal port construction and operations, and by the over 950 annual transits of immense coal ships?

HUMAN HEALTH & SAFETY: How will cancer, heart disease, asthma and other health risks be affected by air and water pollutions associated with coal transport and export? How will additional rail and ship traffic affect accident and collision rates? Toxic air pollution crosses the Pacific Ocean from Asia to the west coast of the United States; what would be the local public health impacts of Powder River Basin coal combustion in Asia?

COST TO TAXPAYERS: How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs affiliated with coal transport and export? Will such direct and indirect costs include necessary upgrades and additions to rail infrastructure; safety measures; public health expenses; the building of under- and overpasses and other attempts at mitigating adverse impacts; lost local businesses and jobs; damaged tourism trade; and decreased property values?



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington
98004-4511



Name(s): *Lorrie Yoo*

Date: *10/22/12*

Signature(s): *Lorrie Yoo*

I/we live within 1 mile of the BNSF rail line on which up to 18 additional daily coal trains (9 full, 9 empty) would travel, if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement encompass the entire transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

NOISE: How will the noise and vibrations of unusually long, heavy and frequent trains impact property values and the structural integrity of homes and other buildings close to the tracks? How will chronic noise exposure affect the health and quality of life of people living, working, and playing nearby?

TRAFFIC PROBLEMS: How will the coal trains affect motor vehicle traffic, transportation, emergency vehicle response times and the flow of commerce in communities along the rail corridor?

FISHERIES & THE SALISH SEA: How will tourism; boating; collision risks; oil/coal spill risks; salmon, crab and herring fisheries; orca whales; and the

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:


general beauty, vitality, and livability of the Salish Sea and environs be affected by coal port construction and operations, and by the over 950 annual transits of immense coal ships?

HUMAN HEALTH & SAFETY: How will cancer, heart disease, asthma and other health risks be affected by air and water pollutions associated with coal transport and export? How will additional rail and ship traffic affect accident and collision rates? Toxic air pollution crosses the Pacific Ocean from Asia to the west coast of the United States; what would be the local public health impacts of Powder River Basin coal combustion in Asia?

COST TO TAXPAYERS: How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs affiliated with coal transport and export? Will such direct and indirect costs include necessary upgrades and additions to rail infrastructure; safety measures; public health expenses; the building of under- and overpasses and other attempts at mitigating adverse impacts; lost local businesses and jobs; damaged tourism trade; and decreased property values?

EVERETT WA 982

25 OCT 2012 PM 2 T



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington
98004-4511



ALLEN CURREN

10-23-12



Name(s):

Date:

Signature(s):

I/we live within 1 mile of the BNSF rail line on which up to 18 additional daily coal trains (9 full, 9 empty) would travel, if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement encompass the entire transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

NOISE: How will the noise and vibrations of unusually long, heavy and frequent trains impact property values and the structural integrity of homes and other buildings close to the tracks? How will chronic noise exposure affect the health and quality of life of people living, working, and playing nearby?

TRAFFIC PROBLEMS: How will the coal trains affect motor vehicle traffic, transportation, emergency vehicle response times and the flow of commerce in communities along the rail corridor?

FISHERIES & THE SALISH SEA: How will tourism; boating; collision risks; oil/coal spill risks; salmon, crab and herring fisheries; orca whales; and the

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

general beauty, vitality, and livability of the Salish Sea and environs be affected by coal port construction and operations, and by the over 950 annual transits of immense coal ships?

HUMAN HEALTH & SAFETY: How will cancer, heart disease, asthma and other health risks be affected by air and water pollutions associated with coal transport and export? How will additional rail and ship traffic affect accident and collision rates? Toxic air pollution crosses the Pacific Ocean from Asia to the west coast of the United States; what would be the local public health impacts of Powder River Basin coal combustion in Asia?

COST TO TAXPAYERS: How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs affiliated with coal transport and export? Will such direct and indirect costs include necessary upgrades and additions to rail infrastructure; safety measures; public health expenses; the building of under- and overpasses and other attempts at mitigating adverse impacts; lost local businesses and jobs; damaged tourism trade; and decreased property values?



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington
98004-4511



Name(s): Linda & Heinrich Wachter Date: 10/22/12 Signature(s): [Handwritten Signature]

I/we live within 1 mile of the BNSF rail line on which up to 18 additional daily coal trains (9 full, 9 empty) would travel, if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement encompass the entire transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

NOISE: How will the noise and vibrations of unusually long, heavy and frequent trains impact property values and the structural integrity of homes and other buildings close to the tracks? How will chronic noise exposure affect the health and quality of life of people living, working, and playing nearby?

TRAFFIC PROBLEMS: How will the coal trains affect motor vehicle traffic, transportation, emergency vehicle response times and the flow of commerce in communities along the rail corridor?


FISHERIES & THE SALISH SEA: How will tourism; boating; collision risks; oil/coal spill risks; salmon, crab and herring fisheries; orca whales; and the

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

general beauty, vitality, and livability of the Salish Sea and environs be affected by coal port construction and operations, and by the over 950 annual transits of immense coal ships?

HUMAN HEALTH & SAFETY: How will cancer, heart disease, asthma and other health risks be affected by air and water pollutions associated with coal transport and export? How will additional rail and ship traffic affect accident and collision rates? Toxic air pollution crosses the Pacific Ocean from Asia to the west coast of the United States; what would be the local public health impacts of Powder River Basin coal combustion in Asia?

COST TO TAXPAYERS: How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs affiliated with coal transport and export? Will such direct and indirect costs include necessary upgrades and additions to rail infrastructure; safety measures; public health expenses; the building of under- and overpasses and other attempts at mitigating adverse impacts; lost local businesses and jobs; damaged tourism trade; and decreased property values?



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington
98004-4511



Jon Hauschild
Name(s):

10/22/2012
Date:

Jon Hauschild
Signature(s):

I/we live within 1 mile of the BNSF rail line on which up to 18 additional daily coal trains (9 full, 9 empty) would travel, if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement encompass the entire transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

NOISE: How will the noise and vibrations of unusually long, heavy and frequent trains impact property values and the structural integrity of homes and other buildings close to the tracks? How will chronic noise exposure affect the health and quality of life of people living, working, and playing nearby?

TRAFFIC PROBLEMS: How will the coal trains affect motor vehicle traffic, transportation, emergency vehicle response times and the flow of commerce in communities along the rail corridor?

FISHERIES & THE SALISH SEA: How will tourism; boating; collision risks; oil/coal spill risks; salmon, crab and herring fisheries; orca whales; and the

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

general beauty, vitality, and livability of the Salish Sea, and environs be affected by coal port construction and operations, and by the over 950 annual transits of immense coal ships?

HUMAN HEALTH & SAFETY: How will cancer, heart disease, asthma and other health risks be affected by air and water pollutions associated with coal transport and export? How will additional rail and ship traffic affect accident and collision rates? Toxic air pollution crosses the Pacific Ocean from Asia to the west coast of the United States; what would be the local public health impacts of Powder River Basin coal combustion in Asia?

COST TO TAXPAYERS: How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs affiliated with coal transport and export? Will such direct and indirect costs include necessary upgrades and additions to rail infrastructure; safety measures; public health expenses; the building of under- and overpasses and other attempts at mitigating adverse impacts; lost local businesses and jobs; damaged tourism trade; and decreased property values?



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington
98004-4511



Diana Ballard
Name(s):

10-22-2012
Date:

Diana Ballard
Signature(s):

I/we live within 1 mile of the BNSF rail line on which up to 18 additional daily coal trains (9 full, 9 empty) would travel, if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement encompass the entire transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

NOISE: How will the noise and vibrations of unusually long, heavy and frequent trains impact property values and the structural integrity of homes and other buildings close to the tracks? How will chronic noise exposure affect the health and quality of life of people living, working, and playing nearby?

TRAFFIC PROBLEMS: How will the coal trains affect motor vehicle traffic, transportation, emergency vehicle response times and the flow of commerce in communities along the rail corridor?


FISHERIES & THE SALISH SEA: How will tourism; boating; collision risks; oil/coal spill risks; salmon, crab and herring fisheries; orca whales; and the

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

general beauty, vitality, and livability of the Salish Sea and environs be affected by coal port construction and operations, and by the over 950 annual transits of immense coal ships?

HUMAN HEALTH & SAFETY: How will cancer, heart disease, asthma and other health risks be affected by air and water pollutions associated with coal transport and export? How will additional rail and ship traffic affect accident and collision rates? Toxic air pollution crosses the Pacific Ocean from Asia to the west coast of the United States; what would be the local public health impacts of Powder River Basin coal combustion in Asia?

COST TO TAXPAYERS: How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs affiliated with coal transport and export? Will such direct and indirect costs include necessary upgrades and additions to rail infrastructure; safety measures; public health expenses; the building of under- and overpasses and other attempts at mitigating adverse impacts; lost local businesses and jobs; damaged tourism trade; and decreased property values?



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington
98004-4511



Elizabeth A. Ziegler
Name(s):

10-22-2012
Date:

Elizabeth A. Ziegler
Signature(s):

I/we live within 1 mile of the BNSF rail line on which up to 18 additional daily coal trains (9 full, 9 empty) would travel, if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement encompass the entire transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

NOISE: How will the noise and vibrations of unusually long, heavy and frequent trains impact property values and the structural integrity of homes and other buildings close to the tracks? How will chronic noise exposure affect the health and quality of life of people living, working, and playing nearby?

TRAFFIC PROBLEMS: How will the coal trains affect motor vehicle traffic, transportation, emergency vehicle response times and the flow of commerce in communities along the rail corridor?

FISHERIES & THE SALISH SEA: How will tourism; boating; collision risks; oil/coal spill risks; salmon, crab and herring fisheries; orca whales; and the

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

general beauty, vitality, and livability of the Salish Sea and environs be affected by coal port construction and operations, and by the over 950 annual transits of immense coal ships?

HUMAN HEALTH & SAFETY: How will cancer, heart disease, asthma and other health risks be affected by air and water pollutions associated with coal transport and export? How will additional rail and ship traffic affect accident and collision rates? Toxic air pollution crosses the Pacific Ocean from Asia to the west coast of the United States; what would be the local public health impacts of Powder River Basin coal combustion in Asia?

COST TO TAXPAYERS: How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs affiliated with coal transport and export? Will such direct and indirect costs include necessary upgrades and additions to rail infrastructure; safety measures; public health, expenses; the building of under- and overpasses and other attempts at mitigating adverse impacts; lost local businesses and jobs; damaged tourism trade; and decreased property values?



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington
98004-4511



Mary L. Murphy
Name(s):
Mary L. Murphy

10/23/2012
Date:

Signature(s):

I/we live within 1 mile of the BNSF rail line on which up to 18 additional daily coal trains (9 full, 9 empty) would travel, if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement encompass the entire transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

NOISE: How will the noise and vibrations of unusually long, heavy and frequent trains impact property values and the structural integrity of homes and other buildings close to the tracks? How will chronic noise exposure affect the health and quality of life of people living, working, and playing nearby?

TRAFFIC PROBLEMS: How will the coal trains affect motor vehicle traffic, transportation, emergency vehicle response times and the flow of commerce in communities along the rail corridor?

FISHERIES & THE SALISH SEA: How will tourism; boating; collision risks; oil/coal spill risks; salmon, crab and herring fisheries; orca whales; and the

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

general beauty, vitality, and livability of the Salish Sea and environs be affected by coal port construction and operations, and by the over 950 annual transits of immense coal ships?

HUMAN HEALTH & SAFETY: How will cancer, heart disease, asthma and other health risks be affected by air and water pollutions associated with coal transport and export? How will additional rail and ship traffic affect accident and collision rates? Toxic air pollution crosses the Pacific Ocean from Asia to the west coast of the United States; what would be the local public health impacts of Powder River Basin coal combustion in Asia?

COST TO TAXPAYERS: How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs affiliated with coal transport and export? Will such direct and indirect costs include necessary upgrades and additions to rail infrastructure; safety measures; public health expenses; the building of under- and overpasses and other attempts at mitigating adverse impacts; lost local businesses and jobs; damaged tourism trade; and decreased property values?



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington
98004-4511



Jeanne Rampau
Name(s):

10-22-12
Date:

Jeanne Rampau
Signature(s):

I/we live within 1 mile of the BNSF rail line on which up to 18 additional daily coal trains (9 full, 9 empty) would travel, if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement encompass the entire transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

NOISE: How will the noise and vibrations of unusually long, heavy and frequent trains impact property values and the structural integrity of homes and other buildings close to the tracks? How will chronic noise exposure affect the health and quality of life of people living, working, and playing nearby?

TRAFFIC PROBLEMS: How will the coal trains affect motor vehicle traffic, transportation, emergency vehicle response times and the flow of commerce in communities along the rail corridor?

FISHERIES & THE SALISH SEA: How will tourism; boating; collision risks; oil/coal spill risks; salmon, crab and herring fisheries; orca whales; and the

general beauty, vitality, and livability of the Salish Sea and environs be affected by coal port construction and operations, and by the over 950 annual transits of immense coal ships?

HUMAN HEALTH & SAFETY: How will cancer, heart disease, asthma and other health risks be affected by air and water pollutions associated with coal transport and export? How will additional rail and ship traffic affect accident and collision rates? Toxic air pollution crosses the Pacific Ocean from Asia to the west coast of the United States; what would be the local public health impacts of Powder River Basin coal combustion in Asia?

COST TO TAXPAYERS: How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs affiliated with coal transport and export? Will such direct and indirect costs include necessary upgrades and additions to rail infrastructure; safety measures; public health expenses; the building of under- and overpasses and other attempts at mitigating adverse impacts; lost local businesses and jobs; damaged tourism trade; and decreased property values?



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington
98004-4511



WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

Charm Miller-Davis / Daniel R. Davis

Charm Miller-Davis

Date:

10/23/12

Signature(s):

Daniel R. Davis

I/we live within 1 mile of the BNSF rail line on which up to 18 additional daily coal trains (9 full, 9 empty) would travel, if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement encompass the entire transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

NOISE: How will the noise and vibrations of unusually long, heavy and frequent trains impact property values and the structural integrity of homes and other buildings close to the tracks? How will chronic noise exposure affect the health and quality of life of people living, working, and playing nearby?

TRAFFIC PROBLEMS: How will the coal trains affect motor vehicle traffic, transportation, emergency vehicle response times and the flow of commerce in communities along the rail corridor?

FISHERIES & THE SALISH SEA: How will tourism; boating; collision risks; oil/coal spill risks; salmon, crab and herring fisheries; orca whales; and the

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

general beauty, vitality, and livability of the Salish Sea and environs be affected by coal port construction and operations, and by the over 950 annual transits of immense coal ships?

HUMAN HEALTH & SAFETY: How will cancer, heart disease, asthma and other health risks be affected by air and water pollutions associated with coal transport and export? How will additional rail and ship traffic affect accident and collision rates? Toxic air pollution crosses the Pacific Ocean from Asia to the west coast of the United States; what would be the local public health impacts of Powder River Basin coal combustion in Asia?

COST TO TAXPAYERS: How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs affiliated with coal transport and export? Will such direct and indirect costs include necessary upgrades and additions to rail infrastructure; safety measures; public health expenses; the building of under- and overpasses and other attempts at mitigating adverse impacts; lost local businesses and jobs; damaged tourism trade; and decreased property values?



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington
98004-4511



Name(s): *Diane Smith*
DIANE SMITH

Date: *10/22/12*

Signature(s): *Diane Smith*

I/we live within 1 mile of the BNSF rail line on which up to 18 additional daily coal trains (9 full, 9 empty) would travel, if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement encompass the entire transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

NOISE: How will the noise and vibrations of unusually long, heavy and frequent trains impact property values and the structural integrity of homes and other buildings close to the tracks? How will chronic noise exposure affect the health and quality of life of people living, working, and playing nearby?

TRAFFIC PROBLEMS: How will the coal trains affect motor vehicle traffic, transportation, emergency vehicle response times and the flow of commerce in communities along the rail corridor?

FISHERIES & THE SALISH SEA: How will tourism; boating; collision risks; oil/coal spill risks; salmon, crab and herring fisheries; orca whales; and the

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

general beauty, vitality, and livability of the Salish Sea and environs be affected by coal port construction and operations, and by the over 950 annual transits of immense coal ships?

HUMAN HEALTH & SAFETY: How will cancer, heart disease, asthma and other health risks be affected by air and water pollutions associated with coal transport and export? How will additional rail and ship traffic affect accident and collision rates? Toxic air pollution crosses the Pacific Ocean from Asia to the west coast of the United States; what would be the local public health impacts of Powder River Basin coal combustion in Asia?

COST TO TAXPAYERS: How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs affiliated with coal transport and export? Will such direct and indirect costs include necessary upgrades and additions to rail infrastructure; safety measures; public health expenses; the building of under- and overpasses and other attempts at mitigating adverse impacts; lost local businesses and jobs; damaged tourism trade; and decreased property values?



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington
98004-4511



Name(s):

Date:

Signature(s):

I/we live within 1 mile of the BNSF rail line on which up to 18 additional daily coal trains (9 full, 9 empty) would travel, if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement encompass the entire transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

NOISE: How will the noise and vibrations of unusually long, heavy and frequent trains impact property values and the structural integrity of homes and other buildings close to the tracks? How will chronic noise exposure affect the health and quality of life of people living, working, and playing nearby?

TRAFFIC PROBLEMS: How will the coal trains affect motor vehicle traffic, transportation, emergency vehicle response times and the flow of commerce in communities along the rail corridor?

FISHERIES & THE SALISH SEA: How will tourism; boating; collision risks; oil/coal spill risks; salmon, crab and herring fisheries; orca whales; and the

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

general beauty, vitality, and livability of the Salish Sea and environs be affected by coal port construction and operations, and by the over 950 annual transits of immense coal ships?

HUMAN HEALTH & SAFETY: How will cancer, heart disease, asthma and other health risks be affected by air and water pollutions associated with coal transport and export? How will additional rail and ship traffic affect accident and collision rates? Toxic air pollution crosses the Pacific Ocean from Asia to the west coast of the United States; what would be the local public health impacts of Powder River Basin coal combustion in Asia?

COST TO TAXPAYERS: How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs affiliated with coal transport and export? Will such direct and indirect costs include necessary upgrades and additions to rail infrastructure; safety measures; public health expenses; the building of under- and overpasses and other attempts at mitigating adverse impacts; lost local businesses and jobs; damaged tourism trade; and decreased property values?



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington
98004-4511



Name(s): Cheryl Duffy

Date: 10/20/2010

Signature(s): Cheryl Duffy

I/we live within 1 mile of the BNSF rail line on which up to 18 additional daily coal trains (9 full, 9 empty) would travel, if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement encompass the entire transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

NOISE: How will the noise and vibrations of unusually long, heavy and frequent trains impact property values and the structural integrity of homes and other buildings close to the tracks? How will chronic noise exposure affect the health and quality of life of people living, working, and playing nearby?

TRAFFIC PROBLEMS: How will the coal trains affect motor vehicle traffic, transportation, emergency vehicle response times and the flow of commerce in communities along the rail corridor?


FISHERIES & THE SALISH SEA: How will tourism; boating; collision risks; oil/coal spill risks; salmon, crab and herring fisheries; orca whales; and the

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

general beauty, vitality, and livability of the Salish Sea and environs be affected by coal port construction and operations, and by the over 950 annual transits of immense coal ships?

HUMAN HEALTH & SAFETY: How will cancer, heart disease, asthma and other health risks be affected by air and water pollutions associated with coal transport and export? How will additional rail and ship traffic affect accident and collision rates? Toxic air pollution crosses the Pacific Ocean from Asia to the west coast of the United States; what would be the local public health impacts of Powder River Basin coal combustion in Asia?

COST TO TAXPAYERS: How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs affiliated with coal transport and export? Will such direct and indirect costs include necessary upgrades and additions to rail infrastructure; safety measures; public health expenses; the building of under- and overpasses and other attempts at mitigating adverse impacts; lost local businesses and jobs; damaged tourism trade; and decreased property values?



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington
98004-4511



Name(s):

Date:

Signature(s):

I/we live within 1 mile of the BNSF rail line on which up to 18 additional daily coal trains (9 full, 9 empty) would travel, if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement encompass the entire transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

NOISE: How will the noise and vibrations of unusually long, heavy and frequent trains impact property values and the structural integrity of homes and other buildings close to the tracks? How will chronic noise exposure affect the health and quality of life of people living, working, and playing nearby?

TRAFFIC PROBLEMS: How will the coal trains affect motor vehicle traffic, transportation, emergency vehicle response times and the flow of commerce in communities along the rail corridor?

FISHERIES & THE SALISH SEA: How will tourism; boating; collision risks; oil/coal spill risks; salmon, crab and herring fisheries; orca whales; and the

general beauty, vitality, and livability of the Salish Sea and environs be affected by coal port construction and operations, and by the over 950 annual transits of immense coal ships?

HUMAN HEALTH & SAFETY: How will cancer, heart disease, asthma and other health risks be affected by air and water pollutions associated with coal transport and export? How will additional rail and ship traffic affect accident and collision rates? Toxic air pollution crosses the Pacific Ocean from Asia to the west coast of the United States; what would be the local public health impacts of Powder River Basin coal combustion in Asia?

COST TO TAXPAYERS: How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs affiliated with coal transport and export? Will such direct and indirect costs include necessary upgrades and additions to rail infrastructure; safety measures; public health expenses; the building of under- and overpasses and other attempts at mitigating adverse impacts; lost local businesses and jobs; damaged tourism trade; and decreased property values?

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington
98004-4511



Name(s): Evelyn + Jerry Swann
Swann

Date: 10/23/12

Signature(s): Evelyn Swann

I/we live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?

BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?

ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511



WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

Cornell
Name(s):

10/23/12
Date:

WJ Cornell
Signature(s):

I/we live within 1 mile of the BNSF rail line on which up to 18 additional daily coal trains (9 full, 9 empty) would travel, if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement encompass the entire transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

NOISE: How will the noise and vibrations of unusually long, heavy and frequent trains impact property values and the structural integrity of homes and other buildings close to the tracks? How will chronic noise exposure affect the health and quality of life of people living, working, and playing nearby?

TRAFFIC PROBLEMS: How will the coal trains affect motor vehicle traffic, transportation, emergency vehicle response times and the flow of commerce in communities along the rail corridor?

FISHERIES & THE SALISH SEA: How will tourism; boating; collision risks; oil/coal spill risks; salmon, crab and herring fisheries; orca whales; and the

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

general beauty, vitality, and livability of the Salish Sea and environs be affected by coal port construction and operations, and by the over 950 annual transits of immense coal ships?

HUMAN HEALTH & SAFETY: How will cancer, heart disease, asthma and other health risks be affected by air and water pollutions associated with coal transport and export? How will additional rail and ship traffic affect accident and collision rates? Toxic air pollution crosses the Pacific Ocean from Asia to the west coast of the United States; what would be the local public health impacts of Powder River Basin coal combustion in Asia?

COST TO TAXPAYERS: How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs affiliated with coal transport and export? Will such direct and indirect costs include necessary upgrades and additions to rail infrastructure; safety measures; public health expenses; the building of under- and overpasses and other attempts at mitigating adverse impacts; lost local businesses and jobs; damaged tourism trade; and decreased property values?



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington
98004-4511



Name(s):

SHIMON ERINISE MOR

Date:

10/20/12

Signature(s):

I/we live within 1 mile of the BNSF rail line on which up to 18 additional daily coal trains (9 full, 9 empty) would travel, if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement encompass the entire transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

NOISE: How will the noise and vibrations of unusually long, heavy and frequent trains impact property values and the structural integrity of homes and other buildings close to the tracks? How will chronic noise exposure affect the health and quality of life of people living, working, and playing nearby?

TRAFFIC PROBLEMS: How will the coal trains affect motor vehicle traffic, transportation, emergency vehicle response times and the flow of commerce in communities along the rail corridor?


FISHERIES & THE SALISH SEA: How will tourism; boating; collision risks; oil/coal spill risks; salmon, crab and herring fisheries; orca whales; and the

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

general beauty, vitality, and livability of the Salish Sea and environs be affected by coal port construction and operations, and by the over 950 annual transits of immense coal ships?

HUMAN HEALTH & SAFETY: How will cancer, heart disease, asthma and other health risks be affected by air and water pollutions associated with coal transport and export? How will additional rail and ship traffic affect accident and collision rates? Toxic air pollution crosses the Pacific Ocean from Asia to the west coast of the United States; what would be the local public health impacts of Powder River Basin coal combustion in Asia?

COST TO TAXPAYERS: How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs affiliated with coal transport and export? Will such direct and indirect costs include necessary upgrades and additions to rail infrastructure; safety measures; public health expenses; the building of under- and overpasses and other attempts at mitigating adverse impacts; lost local businesses and jobs; damaged tourism trade; and decreased property values?



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington
98004-4511



Lindsay Taylor & Stephanie Williams

Name(s):

10/23/12

Date:

[Signature] + [Signature]

Signature(s):

I/we live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?


BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?



**GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511**



Name(s):

Date:

Signature(s):

I/we live within 1 mile of the BNSF rail line on which up to 18 additional daily coal trains (9 full, 9 empty) would travel, if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement encompass the entire transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

NOISE: How will the noise and vibrations of unusually long, heavy and frequent trains impact property values and the structural integrity of homes and other buildings close to the tracks? How will chronic noise exposure affect the health and quality of life of people living, working, and playing nearby?

TRAFFIC PROBLEMS: How will the coal trains affect motor vehicle traffic, transportation, emergency vehicle response times and the flow of commerce in communities along the rail corridor?

FISHERIES & THE SALISH SEA: How will tourism; boating; collision risks; oil/coal spill risks; salmon, crab and herring fisheries; orca whales; and the

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

general beauty, vitality, and livability of the Salish Sea and environs be affected by coal port construction and operations, and by the over 950 annual transits of immense coal ships?

HUMAN HEALTH & SAFETY: How will cancer, heart disease, asthma and other health risks be affected by air and water pollutions associated with coal transport and export? How will additional rail and ship traffic affect accident and collision rates? Toxic air pollution crosses the Pacific Ocean from Asia to the west coast of the United States; what would be the local public health impacts of Powder River Basin coal combustion in Asia?

COST TO TAXPAYERS: How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs affiliated with coal transport and export? Will such direct and indirect costs include necessary upgrades and additions to rail infrastructure; safety measures; public health expenses; the building of under- and overpasses and other attempts at mitigating adverse impacts; lost local businesses and jobs; damaged tourism trade; and decreased property values?



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington
98004-4511



ROBERTA FERRON

Name(s):

10/22/12

Date:

Roberta Ferron

Signature(s):

I/we live within 1 mile of the BNSF rail line on which up to 18 additional daily coal trains (9 full, 9 empty) would travel, if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement encompass the entire transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

NOISE: How will the noise and vibrations of unusually long, heavy and frequent trains impact property values and the structural integrity of homes and other buildings close to the tracks? How will chronic noise exposure affect the health and quality of life of people living, working, and playing nearby?

TRAFFIC PROBLEMS: How will the coal trains affect motor vehicle traffic, transportation, emergency vehicle response times and the flow of commerce in communities along the rail corridor?

FISHERIES & THE SALISH SEA: How will tourism; boating; collision risks; oil/coal spill risks; salmon, crab and herring fisheries; orca whales; and the

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

Preston Parks

Clarence Holmes

Name(s):

general beauty, vitality, and livability of the Salish Sea and environs be affected by coal port construction and operations, and by the over 950 annual transits of immense coal ships?

HUMAN HEALTH & SAFETY: How will cancer, heart disease, asthma and other health risks be affected by air and water pollutions associated with coal transport and export? How will additional rail and ship traffic affect accident and collision rates? To what air pollution crosses the Pacific Ocean from Asia to the west coast of the United States; what would be the local public health impacts of Powder River Basin coal combustion in Asia?

COST TO TAXPAYERS: How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs affiliated with coal transport and export? Will such direct and indirect costs include necessary upgrades and additions to rail infrastructure; safety measures; public health expenses; the building of under- and overpasses and other attempts at mitigating adverse impacts; lost local businesses and jobs; damaged tourism trade; and decreased property values?

10/22/12

10/22/12

Date:

[Signature]

[Signature]

Signature(s):

GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington
98004 4511



I/we live within 1 mile of the BNSF rail line on which up to 18 additional daily coal trains (9 full, 9 empty) would travel, if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement encompass the entire transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

NOISE: How will the noise and vibrations of unusually long, heavy and frequent trains impact property values and the structural integrity of homes and other buildings close to the tracks? How will chronic noise exposure affect the health and quality of life of people living, working, and playing nearby?

TRAFFIC PROBLEMS: How will the coal trains affect motor vehicle traffic, transportation, emergency vehicle response times and the flow of commerce in communities along the rail corridor?


FISHERIES & THE SALISH SEA: How will tourism; boating; collision risks; oil/coal spill risks; salmon, crab and herring fisheries; orca whales; and the

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

general beauty, vitality, and livability of the Salish Sea and environs be affected by coal port construction and operations, and by the over 950 annual transits of immense coal ships?

HUMAN HEALTH & SAFETY: How will cancer, heart disease, asthma and other health risks be affected by air and water pollutions associated with coal transport and export? How will additional rail and ship traffic affect accident and collision rates? Toxic air pollution crosses the Pacific Ocean from Asia to the west coast of the United States; what would be the local public health impacts of Powder River Basin coal combustion in Asia?

COST TO TAXPAYERS: How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs affiliated with coal transport and export? Will such direct and indirect costs include necessary upgrades and additions to rail infrastructure; safety measures; public health expenses; the building of under- and overpasses and other attempts at mitigating adverse impacts; lost local businesses and jobs; damaged tourism trade; and decreased property values?



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington
98004-4511



Oct. 22, 2012 Bruce L. Freet

Name(s):

Date:

Signature(s):

I/we live within 1 mile of the BNSF rail line on which up to 18 additional daily coal trains (9 full, 9 empty) would travel, if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement encompass the entire transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

NOISE: How will the noise and vibrations of unusually long, heavy and frequent trains impact property values and the structural integrity of homes and other buildings close to the tracks? How will chronic noise exposure affect the health and quality of life of people living, working, and playing nearby?

TRAFFIC PROBLEMS: How will the coal trains affect motor vehicle traffic, transportation, emergency vehicle response times and the flow of commerce in communities along the rail corridor?


FISHERIES & THE SALISH SEA: How will tourism; boating; collision risks; oil/coal spill risks; salmon, crab and herring fisheries; orca whales; and the

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

general beauty, vitality, and livability of the Salish Sea and environs be affected by coal port construction and operations, and by the over 950 annual transits of immense coal ships?

HUMAN HEALTH & SAFETY: How will cancer, heart disease, asthma and other health risks be affected by air and water pollutions associated with coal transport and export? How will additional rail and ship traffic affect accident and collision rates? Toxic air pollution crosses the Pacific Ocean from Asia to the west coast of the United States; what would be the local public health impacts of Powder River Basin coal combustion in Asia?

COST TO TAXPAYERS: How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs affiliated with coal transport and export? Will such direct and indirect costs include necessary upgrades and additions to rail infrastructure; safety measures; public health expenses; the building of under- and overpasses and other attempts at mitigating adverse impacts; lost local businesses and jobs; damaged tourism trade; and decreased property values?



GO GREEN buy local produce reuse bags



forever

GPT/BNSF Cluster Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington
98004-4511



Name(s): Barney & Jo Fleming

Date: 10/23/12

Signature(s): Jo Fleming, Barney Fleming

I/we live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?

BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?

SEATTLE WA 980

22 OCT 2012 PM 3 L



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511



SHANEY L. MOORE

10/21/12

Shelley Moore

Name(s):

Date:

Signature(s):

we live within 1 mile of the BNSF rail line on which up to 18 additional daily coal trains (9 full, 9 empty) would travel, if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement encompass the entire transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

NOISE: How will the noise and vibrations of unusually long, heavy and frequent trains impact property values and the structural integrity of homes and other buildings close to the tracks? How will chronic noise exposure affect the health and quality of life of people living, working, and playing nearby?

TRAFFIC PROBLEMS: How will the coal trains affect motor vehicle traffic, transportation, emergency vehicle response times and the flow of commerce in communities along the rail corridor?

FISHERIES & THE SALISH SEA: How will tourism; boating; collision risks; oil/coal spill risks; salmon, crab and herring fisheries; orca whales; and the

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

general beauty, vitality, and livability of the Salish Sea and environs be affected by coal port construction and operations, and by the over 950 annual transits of immense coal ships?

HUMAN HEALTH & SAFETY: How will cancer, heart disease, asthma and other health risks be affected by air and water pollutions associated with coal transport and export? How will additional rail and ship traffic affect accident and collision rates? Toxic air pollution crosses the Pacific Ocean from Asia to the west coast of the United States; what would be the local public health impacts of Powder River Basin coal combustion in Asia?

COST TO TAXPAYERS: How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs affiliated with coal transport and export? Will such direct and indirect costs include necessary upgrades and additions to rail infrastructure; safety measures; public health expenses; the building of under- and overpasses and other attempts at mitigating adverse impacts; lost local businesses and jobs; damaged tourism trade; and decreased property values?



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington
98004-4511



KRISTIN JOHNSON

10/20/12

Name(s):

Date:

Signature(s):

I/we live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel-traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?

BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?


ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution; noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?

EVERETT WA 982

NOV 19 2012 11:42 AM



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511



WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

Elizabeth Wenner

10-19-12

Elizabeth Wenner

KURT WENNER

10-19-12

Kurt Wenner

Name(s):

Date:

Signature(s):

I/we live within 1 mile of the BNSF rail line on which up to 18 additional daily coal trains (9 full, 9 empty) would travel, if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement encompass the entire transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

NOISE: How will the noise and vibrations of unusually long, heavy and frequent trains impact property values and the structural integrity of homes and other buildings close to the tracks? How will chronic noise exposure affect the health and quality of life of people living, working, and playing nearby?

TRAFFIC PROBLEMS: How will the coal trains affect motor vehicle traffic, transportation, emergency vehicle response times and the flow of commerce in communities along the rail corridor?

FISHERIES & THE SALISH SEA: How will tourism; boating; collision risks; oil/coal spill risks; salmon, crab and herring fisheries; orca whales; and the

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

general beauty, vitality, and livability of the Salish Sea and environs be affected by coal port construction and operations, and by the over 950 annual transits of immense coal ships?

HUMAN HEALTH & SAFETY: How will cancer, heart disease, asthma and other health risks be affected by air and water pollutions associated with coal transport and export? How will additional rail and ship traffic affect accident and collision rates? Toxic air pollution crosses the Pacific Ocean from Asia to the west coast of the United States; what would be the local public health impacts of Powder River Basin coal combustion in Asia?

COST TO TAXPAYERS: How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs affiliated with coal transport and export? Will such direct and indirect costs include necessary upgrades and additions to rail infrastructure; safety measures; public health expenses; the building of under- and overpasses and other attempts at mitigating adverse impacts; lost local businesses and jobs; damaged tourism trade; and decreased property values?



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington
98004-4511



Name(s):

Date:

Signature(s):

I/we live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?

BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?

ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

Name(s): Mary and Gilbert Masters Date: 10/21/2012 Signature(s): Mary Masters [Signature]



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511
[Barcode]

I/we live within 1 mile of the BNSF rail line on which up to 18 additional daily coal trains (9 full, 9 empty) would travel, if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement encompass the entire transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

NOISE: How will the noise and vibrations of unusually long, heavy and frequent trains impact property values and the structural integrity of homes and other buildings close to the tracks? How will chronic noise exposure affect the health and quality of life of people living, working, and playing nearby?

TRAFFIC PROBLEMS: How will the coal trains affect motor vehicle traffic, transportation, emergency vehicle response times and the flow of commerce in communities along the rail corridor?

FISHERIES & THE SALISH SEA: How will tourism; boating; collision risks; oil/coal spill risks; salmon, crab and herring fisheries; orca whales; and the

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

general beauty, vitality, and livability of the Salish Sea and environs be affected by coal port construction and operations, and by the over 950 annual transits of immense coal ships?

HUMAN HEALTH & SAFETY: How will cancer, heart disease, asthma and other health risks be affected by air and water pollutions associated with coal transport and export? How will additional rail and ship traffic affect accident and collision rates? Toxic air pollution crosses the Pacific Ocean from Asia to the west coast of the United States; what would be the local public health impacts of Powder River Basin coal combustion in Asia?

COST TO TAXPAYERS: How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs affiliated with coal transport and export? Will such direct and indirect costs include necessary upgrades and additions to rail infrastructure; safety measures; public health expenses; the building of under- and overpasses and other attempts at mitigating adverse impacts; lost local businesses and jobs; damaged tourism trade; and decreased property values?



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington
98004-4511



William & Alice Olson
Name(s):

10/21/2012
Date:

Alice T Olson
Signature(s):

I/we live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?

BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?

ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:


Dan & Jennifer DeShon
Name(s):

10/12/12
Date:

Jennifer DeShon / Dites DeShon
Signature(s):

9800434511

**GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511**



I/we live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?

BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?

ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

Name(s): Jill & Tom Boyce

Date: 10/19/12
9800434511

Signature(s): Jill Boyce /
9800434511

EVERETT WA 982
02 OCT 2012 PM 3 1



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511
9800434511

I/we live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?

BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?


ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?

EVERETT WA 982

OCT 2012 FWD 1



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511



WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

Rayton & Karen Parsons *Oct. 11, 2012* *Karen Parsons*
Name(s): Date: Signature(s)

980044511



I/we live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?

BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:


ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?

EVERETT WA 982

OCT 2012 PM 3 T



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511



Name(s):

Date:

Signature(s):

980044511

Handwritten signature or scribble.

I/we live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?

BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?

ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?



**GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511**



WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

GAYLE ISBELL &
Name(s): TOM RUGGLES

10/20/12
Date:

Gayle Isbell
Signature(s):

I/we live within 1 mile of the BNSF rail line on which up to 18 additional daily coal trains (9 full, 9 empty) would travel, if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement encompass the entire transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

NOISE: How will the noise and vibrations of unusually long, heavy and frequent trains impact property values and the structural integrity of homes and other buildings close to the tracks? How will chronic noise exposure affect the health and quality of life of people living, working, and playing nearby?

TRAFFIC PROBLEMS: How will the coal trains affect motor vehicle traffic, transportation, emergency vehicle response times and the flow of commerce in communities along the rail corridor?


FISHERIES & THE SALISH SEA: How will tourism; boating; collision risks; oil/coal spill risks; salmon, crab and herring fisheries; orca whales; and the

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

general beauty, vitality, and livability of the Salish Sea and environs be affected by coal port construction and operations, and by the over 950 annual transits of immense coal ships?

HUMAN HEALTH & SAFETY: How will cancer, heart disease, asthma and other health risks be affected by air and water pollutions associated with coal transport and export? How will additional rail and ship traffic affect accident and collision rates? Toxic air pollution crosses the Pacific Ocean from Asia to the west coast of the United States; what would be the local public health impacts of Powder River Basin coal combustion in Asia?

COST TO TAXPAYERS: How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs affiliated with coal transport and export? Will such direct and indirect costs include necessary upgrades and additions to rail infrastructure; safety measures; public health expenses; the building of under- and overpasses and other attempts at mitigating adverse impacts; lost local businesses and jobs; damaged tourism trade; and decreased property values?



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington
98004-4511



DAVID WILHELM
Name(s): Wendy Wilhelm

10/21/12
Date: 10/21/12

David Wilhelm
Signature(s): Wendy Wilhelm

I/we live within 1 mile of the BNSF rail line on which up to 18 additional daily coal trains (9 full, 9 empty) would travel, if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement encompass the entire transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

NOISE: How will the noise and vibrations of unusually long, heavy and frequent trains impact property values and the structural integrity of homes and other buildings close to the tracks? How will chronic noise exposure affect the health and quality of life of people living, working, and playing nearby?


TRAFFIC PROBLEMS: How will the coal trains affect motor vehicle traffic, transportation, emergency vehicle response times and the flow of commerce in communities along the rail corridor?

FISHERIES & THE SALISH SEA: How will tourism; boating; collision risks; oil/coal spill risks; salmon, crab and herring fisheries; orca whales; and the

general beauty, vitality, and livability of the Salish Sea and environs be affected by coal port construction and operations, and by the over 950 annual transits of immense coal ships?

HUMAN HEALTH & SAFETY: How will cancer, heart disease, asthma and other health risks be affected by air and water pollutions associated with coal transport and export? How will additional rail and ship traffic affect accident and collision rates? Toxic air pollution crosses the Pacific Ocean from Asia to the west coast of the United States; what would be the local public health impacts of Powder River Basin coal combustion in Asia?

COST TO TAXPAYERS: How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs affiliated with coal transport and export? Will such direct and indirect costs include necessary upgrades and additions to rail infrastructure; safety measures; public health expenses; the building of under- and overpasses and other attempts at mitigating adverse impacts; lost local businesses and jobs; damaged tourism trade; and decreased property values?



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington
98004-4511



WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

Name(s): MEGAN ARTZ Date: 10/20/12 Signature(s): MEGAN ARTZ

I/we live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?

BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?

ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?

EVERETT WA 982
OCT 2012 PM 3



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511



WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

Anne Sutherland
Name(s): **ANNE SUTHERLIN**

Date: **10/19/12** Signature(s):

Anne Sutherland

I/we live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?

BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?


WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?

EVERETT WA 982
20 OCT 2012 PM 3 1



**GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511**



Name(s):

Date:

Signature(s):

98004-4511



I/we live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?

BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?

ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:


GAYLE F. BODORFF
Name(s):

10-20-2012
Date:
980044511

Gayle Bodorff
Signature(s):
980044511

EVERETT WA 982

22 OCT 2012 PM 2:17



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511



live on an island **alish Sea**, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?


BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?

ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?

EVERETT WA 982
OCT 22 1964



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511



WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

Thomas L. Mair

THOMAS L. MAIR


Name(s):

OCT 22, 1964

Date:
980044511

Thomas L. Mair

Signature(s):



I/we live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?

BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS
1100 112th Avenue North
Bellevue, Washington



I/we live within 1 mile of the BNSF rail line on which up to 18 additional daily coal trains (9 full, 9 empty) would travel, if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement encompass the entire transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

NOISE: How will the noise and vibrations of unusually long, heavy and frequent trains impact property values and the structural integrity of homes and other buildings close to the tracks? How will chronic noise exposure affect the health and quality of life of people living, working, and playing nearby?

TRAFFIC PROBLEMS: How will the coal trains affect motor vehicle traffic, transportation, emergency vehicle response times and the flow of commerce in communities along the rail corridor?

FISHERIES & THE SALISH SEA: How will tourism; boating; collision risks; oil/coal spill risks; salmon, crab and herring fisheries; orca whales; and the

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

Frank Phillips

10/21/12

Frank Phillips

PAULA SHAFRANSKY

10/21/12

Paula Shafransky

Name(s):


Date:

Signature(s):

general beauty, vitality, and livability of the Salish Sea and environs be affected by coal port construction and operations, and by the over 950 annual transits of immense coal ships?

HUMAN HEALTH & SAFETY: How will cancer, heart disease, asthma and other health risks be affected by air and water pollutions associated with coal transport and export? How will additional rail and ship traffic affect accident and collision rates? Toxic air pollution crosses the Pacific Ocean from Asia to the west coast of the United States; what would be the local public health impacts of Powder River Basin coal combustion in Asia?

COST TO TAXPAYERS: How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs affiliated with coal transport and export? Will such direct and indirect costs include necessary upgrades and additions to rail infrastructure; safety measures; public health expenses; the building of under- and overpasses and other attempts at mitigating adverse impacts; lost local businesses and jobs; damaged tourism trade; and decreased property values?



Standard
\$.45
Postage
Here

GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington
98004-4511



I/we live within 1 mile of the BNSF rail line on which up to 18 additional daily coal trains (9 full, 9 empty) would travel, if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement encompass the entire transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

NOISE: How will the noise and vibrations of unusually long, heavy and frequent trains impact property values and the structural integrity of homes and other buildings close to the tracks? How will chronic noise exposure affect the health and quality of life of people living, working, and playing nearby?

TRAFFIC PROBLEMS: How will the coal trains affect motor vehicle traffic, transportation, emergency vehicle response times and the flow of commerce in communities along the rail corridor?

FISHERIES & THE SALISH SEA: How will tourism; boating; collision risks; oil/coal spill risks; salmon, crab and herring fisheries; orca whales; and the

general beauty, vitality, and livability of the Salish Sea and environs be affected by coal port construction and operations, and by the over 950 annual transits of immense coal ships?

HUMAN HEALTH & SAFETY: How will cancer, heart disease, asthma and other health risks be affected by air and water pollutions associated with coal transport and export? How will additional rail and ship traffic affect accident and collision rates? To what air pollution crosses the Pacific Ocean from Asia to the west coast of the United States; what would be the local public health impacts of Powder River Basin coal combustion in Asia?

COST TO TAXPAYERS: How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs affiliated with coal transport and export? Will such direct and indirect costs include necessary upgrades and additions to rail infrastructure; safety measures; public health expenses; the building of under- and overpasses and other attempts at mitigating adverse impacts; lost local businesses and jobs; damaged tourism trade; and decreased property values?

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:


Wayle Davis
Wayle Davis

10-24-12

Name(s):

Date:

Signature(s):



GPT/BNSF Guster Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington
98004-4511

I/we live within 1 mile of the BNSF rail line on which up to 18 additional daily coal trains (9 full, 9 empty) would travel, if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement encompass the entire transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

NOISE: How will the noise and vibrations of unusually long, heavy and frequent trains impact property values and the structural integrity of homes and other buildings close to the tracks? How will chronic noise exposure affect the health and quality of life of people living, working, and playing nearby?

TRAFFIC PROBLEMS: How will the coal trains affect motor vehicle traffic, transportation, emergency vehicle response times and the flow of commerce in communities along the rail corridor?

FISHERIES & THE SALISH SEA: How will tourism; boating; collision risks; oil/coal spill risks; salmon, crab and herring fisheries; orca whales; and the

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

general beauty, vitality, and livability of the Salish Sea and environs be affected by coal port construction and operations, and by the over 950 annual transits of immense coal ships?

HUMAN HEALTH & SAFETY: How will cancer, heart disease, asthma and other health risks be affected by air and water pollutions associated with coal transport and export? How will additional rail and ship traffic affect accident and collision rates? Toxic air pollution crosses the Pacific Ocean from Asia to the west coast of the United States; what would be the local public health impacts of Powder River Basin coal combustion in Asia?

COST TO TAXPAYERS: How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs affiliated with coal transport and export? Will such direct and indirect costs include necessary upgrades and additions to rail infrastructure; safety measures; public health expenses; the building of under- and overpasses and other attempts at mitigating adverse impacts; lost local businesses and jobs; damaged tourism trade; and decreased property values?



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington
98004-4511



VERNON & SANDRA KEEFE
Name(s):

10-22-12
Date:

Vernon H. Keefe Sandra Keefe
Signature(s):

I/we live within 1 mile of the BNSF rail line on which up to 18 additional daily coal trains (9 full, 9 empty) would travel, if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement encompass the entire transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

NOISE: How will the noise and vibrations of unusually long, heavy and frequent trains impact property values and the structural integrity of homes and other buildings close to the tracks? How will chronic noise exposure affect the health and quality of life of people living, working, and playing nearby?

TRAFFIC PROBLEMS: How will the coal trains affect motor vehicle traffic, transportation, emergency vehicle response times and the flow of commerce in communities along the rail corridor?


FISHERIES & THE SALISH SEA: How will tourism; boating; collision risks; oil/coal spill risks; salmon, crab and herring fisheries; orca whales; and the

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

general beauty, vitality, and livability of the Salish Sea and environs be affected by coal port construction and operations, and by the over 950 annual transits of immense coal ships?

HUMAN HEALTH & SAFETY: How will cancer, heart disease, asthma and other health risks be affected by air and water pollutions associated with coal transport and export? How will additional rail and ship traffic affect accident and collision rates? Toxic air pollution crosses the Pacific Ocean from Asia to the west coast of the United States; what would be the local public health impacts of Powder River Basin coal combustion in Asia?

COST TO TAXPAYERS: How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs affiliated with coal transport and export? Will such direct and indirect costs include necessary upgrades and additions to rail infrastructure; safety measures; public health expenses; the building of under- and overpasses and other attempts at mitigating adverse impacts; lost local businesses and jobs; damaged tourism trade; and decreased property values?



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington
98004-4511



Andrea Rackl

10/24/2012

Andrea Rackl

Name(s):

Date:

Signature(s):

I/we live within 1 mile of the BNSF rail line on which up to 18 additional daily coal trains (9 full, 9 empty) would travel, if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement encompass the entire transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

NOISE: How will the noise and vibrations of unusually long, heavy and frequent trains impact property values and the structural integrity of homes and other buildings close to the tracks? How will chronic noise exposure affect the health and quality of life of people living, working, and playing nearby?

TRAFFIC PROBLEMS: How will the coal trains affect motor vehicle traffic, transportation, emergency vehicle response times and the flow of commerce in communities along the rail corridor?


FISHERIES & THE SALISH SEA: How will tourism; boating; collision risks; oil/coal spill risks; salmon, crab and herring fisheries; orca whales; and the

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

general beauty, vitality, and livability of the Salish Sea and environs be affected by coal port construction and operations, and by the over 950 annual transits of immense coal ships?

HUMAN HEALTH & SAFETY: How will cancer, heart disease, asthma and other health risks be affected by air and water pollutions associated with coal transport and export? How will additional rail and ship traffic affect accident and collision rates? Toxic air pollution crosses the Pacific Ocean from Asia to the west coast of the United States; what would be the local public health impacts of Powder River Basin coal combustion in Asia?

COST TO TAXPAYERS: How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs affiliated with coal transport and export? Will such direct and indirect costs include necessary upgrades and additions to rail infrastructure; safety measures; public health expenses; the building of under- and overpasses and other attempts at mitigating adverse impacts; lost local businesses and jobs; damaged tourism trade; and decreased property values?



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington
98004-4511



Ken Strand

Name(s):

Oct. 22, 2012

Date:

Ken Strand

Signature(s):

I/we live within 1 mile of the BNSF rail line on which up to 18 additional daily coal trains (9 full, 9 empty) would travel, if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement encompass the entire transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

NOISE: How will the noise and vibrations of unusually long, heavy and frequent trains impact property values and the structural integrity of homes and other buildings close to the tracks? How will chronic noise exposure affect the health and quality of life of people living, working, and playing nearby?


TRAFFIC PROBLEMS: How will the coal trains affect motor vehicle traffic, transportation, emergency vehicle response times and the flow of commerce in communities along the rail corridor?

FISHERIES & THE SALISH SEA: How will tourism; boating; collision risks; oil/coal spill risks; salmon, crab and herring fisheries; orca whales; and the

general beauty, vitality, and livability of the Salish Sea and environs be affected by coal port construction and operations, and by the over 950 annual transits of immense coal ships?

HUMAN HEALTH & SAFETY: How will cancer, heart disease, asthma and other health risks be affected by air and water pollutions associated with coal transport and export? How will additional rail and ship traffic affect accident and collision rates? Toxic air pollution crosses the Pacific Ocean from Asia to the west coast of the United States; what would be the local public health impacts of Powder River Basin coal combustion in Asia?

COST TO TAXPAYERS: How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs affiliated with coal transport and export? Will such direct and indirect costs include necessary upgrades and additions to rail infrastructure; safety measures; public health expenses; the building of under- and overpasses and other attempts at mitigating adverse impacts; lost local businesses and jobs; damaged tourism trade; and decreased property values?



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington
98004-4511



WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

Extra trains will cause more noise + block entrances to the Port.

Asbjorn O. Moldnes
Name(s):

10/22/12
Date:

Asbjorn O. Moldnes
Signature(s):

I/we live within 1 mile of the BNSF rail line on which up to 18 additional daily coal trains (9 full, 9 empty) would travel, if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement encompass the entire transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

NOISE: How will the noise and vibrations of unusually long, heavy and frequent trains impact property values and the structural integrity of homes and other buildings close to the tracks? How will chronic noise exposure affect the health and quality of life of people living, working, and playing nearby?

TRAFFIC PROBLEMS: How will the coal trains affect motor vehicle traffic, transportation, emergency vehicle response times and the flow of commerce in communities along the rail corridor?


FISHERIES & THE SALISH SEA: How will tourism; boating; collision risks; oil/coal spill risks; salmon, crab and herring fisheries; orca whales; and the

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

general beauty, vitality, and livability of the Salish Sea and environs be affected by coal port construction and operations, and by the over 950 annual transits of immense coal ships?

HUMAN HEALTH & SAFETY: How will cancer, heart disease, asthma and other health risks be affected by air and water pollutions associated with coal transport and export? How will additional rail and ship traffic affect accident and collision rates? Toxic air pollution crosses the Pacific Ocean from Asia to the west coast of the United States; what would be the local public health impacts of Powder River Basin coal combustion in Asia?

COST TO TAXPAYERS: How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs affiliated with coal transport and export? Will such direct and indirect costs include necessary upgrades and additions to rail infrastructure; safety measures; public health expenses; the building of under- and overpasses and other attempts at mitigating adverse impacts; lost local businesses and jobs; damaged tourism trade; and decreased property values?



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington
98004-4511



DAVID Kehe + Peggy Kehe 10-23-12
Name(s):

Date: Signature(s): [Handwritten signatures]

I/we live within 1 mile of the BNSF rail line on which up to 18 additional daily coal trains (9 full, 9 empty) would travel, if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement encompass the entire transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

NOISE: How will the noise and vibrations of unusually long, heavy and frequent trains impact property values and the structural integrity of homes and other buildings close to the tracks? How will chronic noise exposure affect the health and quality of life of people living, working, and playing nearby?

TRAFFIC PROBLEMS: How will the coal trains affect motor vehicle traffic, transportation, emergency vehicle response times and the flow of commerce in communities along the rail corridor?

FISHERIES & THE SALISH SEA: How will tourism; boating; collision risks; oil/coal spill risks; salmon, crab and herring fisheries; orca whales; and the

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:


general beauty, vitality, and livability of the Salish Sea and environs be affected by coal port construction and operations, and by the over 950 annual transits of immense coal ships?

HUMAN HEALTH & SAFETY: How will cancer, heart disease, asthma and other health risks be affected by air and water pollutions associated with coal transport and export? How will additional rail and ship traffic affect accident and collision rates? Toxic air pollution crosses the Pacific Ocean from Asia to the west coast of the United States; what would be the local public health impacts of Powder River Basin coal combustion in Asia?

COST TO TAXPAYERS: How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs affiliated with coal transport and export? Will such direct and indirect costs include necessary upgrades and additions to rail infrastructure; safety measures; public health expenses; the building of under- and overpasses and other attempts at mitigating adverse impacts; lost local businesses and jobs; damaged tourism trade; and decreased property values?

EVERETT WA 982

24 OCT 2012 PM 4:17



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington
98004-4511



JEFF, SHARON, IAN & LUCY
Name(s): ANDERSON

10-22-12
Date:

Jeff Anderson
Signature(s): Sharon Anderson
LUCY

I/we live within 1 mile of the BNSF rail line on which up to 18 additional daily coal trains (9 full, 9 empty) would travel, if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement encompass the entire transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

NOISE: How will the noise and vibrations of unusually long, heavy and frequent trains impact property values and the structural integrity of homes and other buildings close to the tracks? How will chronic noise exposure affect the health and quality of life of people living, working, and playing nearby?

TRAFFIC PROBLEMS: How will the coal trains affect motor vehicle traffic, transportation, emergency vehicle response times and the flow of commerce in communities along the rail corridor?

FISHERIES & THE SALISH SEA: How will tourism; boating; collision risks; oil/coal spill risks; salmon, crab and herring fisheries; orca whales; and the

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

general beauty, vitality, and livability of the Salish Sea and environs be affected by coal port construction and operations, and by the over 950 annual transits of immense coal ships?

HUMAN HEALTH & SAFETY: How will cancer, heart disease, asthma and other health risks be affected by air and water pollutions associated with coal transport and export? How will additional rail and ship traffic affect accident and collision rates? Toxic air pollution crosses the Pacific Ocean from Asia to the west coast of the United States; what would be the local public health impacts of Powder River Basin coal combustion in Asia?

COST TO TAXPAYERS: How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs affiliated with coal transport and export? Will such direct and indirect costs include necessary upgrades and additions to rail infrastructure; safety measures; public health expenses; the building of under- and overpasses and other attempts at mitigating adverse impacts; lost local businesses and jobs; damaged tourism trade; and decreased property values?



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington
98004-4511



Tony + Jennifer Angelis
Name(s):

10/24/12
Date:

Jah
Signature(s):

I/we live within 1 mile of the BNSF rail line on which up to 18 additional daily coal trains (9 full, 9 empty) would travel, if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement encompass the entire transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

NOISE: How will the noise and vibrations of unusually long, heavy and frequent trains impact property values and the structural integrity of homes and other buildings close to the tracks? How will chronic noise exposure affect the health and quality of life of people living, working, and playing nearby?

TRAFFIC PROBLEMS: How will the coal trains affect motor vehicle traffic, transportation, emergency vehicle response times and the flow of commerce in communities along the rail corridor?

FISHERIES & THE SALISH SEA: How will tourism; boating; collision risks; oil/coal spill risks; salmon, crab and herring fisheries; orca whales; and the

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

general beauty, vitality, and livability of the Salish Sea and environs be affected by coal port construction and operations, and by the over 950 annual transits of immense coal ships?

HUMAN HEALTH & SAFETY: How will cancer, heart disease, asthma and other health risks be affected by air and water pollutions associated with coal transport and export? How will additional rail and ship traffic affect accident and collision rates? Toxic air pollution crosses the Pacific Ocean from Asia to the west coast of the United States; what would be the local public health impacts of Powder River Basin coal combustion in Asia?

COST TO TAXPAYERS: How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs affiliated with coal transport and export? Will such direct and indirect costs include necessary upgrades and additions to rail infrastructure; safety measures; public health expenses; the building of under- and overpasses and other attempts at mitigating adverse impacts; lost local businesses and jobs; damaged tourism trade; and decreased property values?



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington
98004-4511



Name(s): _____ Date: _____ Signature(s): _____

I/we live within 1 mile of the BNSF rail line on which up to 18 additional daily coal trains (9 full, 9 empty) would travel, if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement encompass the entire transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

NOISE: How will the noise and vibrations of unusually long, heavy and frequent trains impact property values and the structural integrity of homes and other buildings close to the tracks? How will chronic noise exposure affect the health and quality of life of people living, working, and playing nearby?

TRAFFIC PROBLEMS: How will the coal trains affect motor vehicle traffic, transportation, emergency vehicle response times and the flow of commerce in communities along the rail corridor?

FISHERIES & THE SALISH SEA: How will tourism; boating; collision risks; oil/coal spill risks; salmon, crab and herring fisheries; orca whales; and the

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

Maryann Martin

Edward Bradley

10/23/12

10/23/12

Maryann Martin

E. Bradley

Name(s):

Date:

Signature(s):

general beauty, vitality, and livability of the Salish Sea and environs be affected by coal port construction and operations, and by the over 950 annual transits of immense coal ships?

HUMAN HEALTH & SAFETY: How will cancer, heart disease, asthma and other health risks be affected by air and water pollutions associated with coal transport and export? How will additional rail and ship traffic affect accident and collision rates? Toxic air pollution crosses the Pacific Ocean from Asia to the west coast of the United States; what would be the local public health impacts of Powder River Basin coal combustion in Asia?

COST TO TAXPAYERS: How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs affiliated with coal transport and export? Will such direct and indirect costs include necessary upgrades and additions to rail infrastructure; safety measures; public health expenses; the building of under- and overpasses and other attempts at mitigating adverse impacts; lost local businesses and jobs; damaged tourism trade; and decreased property values?



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington
98004-4511



I/we live within 1 mile of the BNSF rail line on which up to 18 additional daily coal trains (9 full, 9 empty) would travel, if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement encompass the entire transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

NOISE: How will the noise and vibrations of unusually long, heavy and frequent trains impact property values and the structural integrity of homes and other buildings close to the tracks? How will chronic noise exposure affect the health and quality of life of people living, working, and playing nearby?

TRAFFIC PROBLEMS: How will the coal trains affect motor vehicle traffic, transportation, emergency vehicle response times and the flow of commerce in communities along the rail corridor?

FISHERIES & THE SALISH SEA: How will tourism; boating; collision risks; oil/coal spill risks; salmon, crab and herring fisheries; orca whales; and the

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:


general beauty, vitality, and livability of the Salish Sea and environs be affected by coal port construction and operations, and by the over 950 annual transits of immense coal ships?

HUMAN HEALTH & SAFETY: How will cancer, heart disease, asthma and other health risks be affected by air and water pollutions associated with coal transport and export? How will additional rail and ship traffic affect accident and collision rates? Toxic air pollution crosses the Pacific Ocean from Asia to the west coast of the United States; what would be the local public health impacts of Powder River Basin coal combustion in Asia?

COST TO TAXPAYERS: How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs affiliated with coal transport and export? Will such direct and indirect costs include necessary upgrades and additions to rail infrastructure; safety measures; public health expenses; the building of under- and overpasses and other attempts at mitigating adverse impacts; lost local businesses and jobs; damaged tourism trade; and decreased property values?

EVERETT WA 982

24 OCT 2012 9M 3 L



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington
98004-4511



Peter Bolda

Oct. 23, 2012

Peter Bolda

Brijette Bolda

Name(s):

Date:

Signature(s):

I/we live within 1 mile of the BNSF rail line on which up to 18 additional daily coal trains (9 full, 9 empty) would travel, if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement encompass the entire transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

NOISE: How will the noise and vibrations of unusually long, heavy and frequent trains impact property values and the structural integrity of homes and other buildings close to the tracks? How will chronic noise exposure affect the health and quality of life of people living, working, and playing nearby?

TRAFFIC PROBLEMS: How will the coal trains affect motor vehicle traffic, transportation, emergency vehicle response times and the flow of commerce in communities along the rail corridor?

FISHERIES & THE SALISH SEA: How will tourism; boating; collision risks; oil/coal spill risks; salmon, crab and herring fisheries; orca whales; and the

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

general beauty, vitality, and livability of the Salish Sea and environs be affected by coal port construction and operations, and by the over 950 annual transits of immense coal ships?

HUMAN HEALTH & SAFETY: How will cancer, heart disease, asthma and other health risks be affected by air and water pollutions associated with coal transport and export? How will additional rail and ship traffic affect accident and collision rates? Toxic air pollution crosses the Pacific Ocean from Asia to the west coast of the United States; what would be the local public health impacts of Powder River Basin coal combustion in Asia?

COST TO TAXPAYERS: How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs affiliated with coal transport and export? Will such direct and indirect costs include necessary upgrades and additions to rail infrastructure; safety measures; public health expenses; the building of under- and overpasses and other attempts at mitigating adverse impacts; lost local businesses and jobs; damaged tourism trade; and decreased property values?



**GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington
98004-4511**



Kim E. Jim Hestad

Name(s):

Oct. 23, 2012

Date:

Kim Hestad

Signature(s):

I/we live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?

BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?

GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511



Name(s):

Date:

Signature(s):

I/we live within 1 mile of the BNSF rail line on which up to 18 additional daily coal trains (9 full, 9 empty) would travel, if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement encompass the entire transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

NOISE: How will the noise and vibrations of unusually long, heavy and frequent trains impact property values and the structural integrity of homes and other buildings close to the tracks? How will chronic noise exposure affect the health and quality of life of people living, working, and playing nearby?

TRAFFIC PROBLEMS: How will the coal trains affect motor vehicle traffic, transportation, emergency vehicle response times and the flow of commerce in communities along the rail corridor?

FISHERIES & THE SALISH SEA: How will tourism; boating; collision risks; oil/coal spill risks; salmon, crab and herring fisheries; orca whales; and the

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

general beauty, vitality, and livability of the Salish Sea and environs be affected by coal port construction and operations, and by the over 950 annual transits of immense coal ships?

HUMAN HEALTH & SAFETY: How will cancer, heart disease, asthma and other health risks be affected by air and water pollutions associated with coal transport and export? How will additional rail and ship traffic affect accident and collision rates? How air pollution crosses the Pacific Ocean from Asia to the west coast of the United States; what would be the local public health impacts of Powder River Basin coal combustion in Asia?

COST TO TAXPAYERS: How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs affiliated with coal transport and export? Will such direct and indirect costs include necessary upgrades and additions to rail infrastructure; safety measures; public health expenses; the building of under- and overpasses and other attempts at mitigating adverse impacts; lost local businesses and jobs; damaged tourism trade; and decreased property values?



Equality
FOREVER

EVERETT, WA 98201
WELL, WA 98291

GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington
98004-4511



Name(s): Carolyn Howe

Date: 10-23-12

Signature(s): Carolyn Howe

I/we live within 1 mile of the BNSF rail line on which up to 18 additional daily coal trains (9 full, 9 empty) would travel, if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement encompass the entire transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

NOISE: How will the noise and vibrations of unusually long, heavy and frequent trains impact property values and the structural integrity of homes and other buildings close to the tracks? How will chronic noise exposure affect the health and quality of life of people living, working, and playing nearby?

TRAFFIC PROBLEMS: How will the coal trains affect motor vehicle traffic, transportation, emergency vehicle response times and the flow of commerce in communities along the rail corridor?

FISHERIES & THE SALISH SEA: How will tourism; boating; collision risks; oil/coal spill risks; salmon, crab and herring fisheries; orca whales; and the

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

Name(s):

John Ohler

Date:

8-24-12

Signature(s):

general beauty, vitality, and livability of the Salish Sea and environs be affected by coal port construction and operations, and by the over 950 annual transits of immense coal ships?

HUMAN HEALTH & SAFETY: How will cancer, heart disease, asthma and other health risks be affected by air and water pollutions associated with coal transport and export? How will additional rail and ship traffic affect accident and collision rates? Toxic air pollution crosses the Pacific Ocean from Asia to the west coast of the United States; what would be the local public health impacts of Powder River Basin coal combustion in Asia?

COST TO TAXPAYERS: How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs affiliated with coal transport and export? Will such direct and indirect costs include necessary upgrades and additions to rail infrastructure; safety measures; public health expenses; the building of under- and overpasses and other attempts at mitigating adverse impacts; lost local businesses and jobs; damaged tourism trade; and decreased property values?



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington
98004-4511



I/we live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?


BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?



**GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511**



Karla Sabin, Benjamin Troutman

Name(s):

10/18/12

Date:

[Handwritten Signature]

Signature(s):

I/we live within 1 mile of the BNSF rail line on which up to 18 additional daily coal trains (9 full, 9 empty) would travel, if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement encompass the entire transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

NOISE: How will the noise and vibrations of unusually long, heavy and frequent trains impact property values and the structural integrity of homes and other buildings close to the tracks? How will chronic noise exposure affect the health and quality of life of people living, working, and playing nearby?

TRAFFIC PROBLEMS: How will the coal trains affect motor vehicle traffic, transportation, emergency vehicle response times and the flow of commerce in communities along the rail corridor?

FISHERIES & THE SALISH SEA: How will tourism; boating; collision risks; oil/coal spill risks; salmon, crab and herring fisheries; orca whales; and the

general beauty, vitality, and livability of the Salish Sea and environs be affected by coal port construction and operations, and by the over 950 annual transits of immense coal ships?

HUMAN HEALTH & SAFETY: How will cancer, heart disease, asthma and other health risks be affected by air and water pollutions associated with coal transport and export? How will additional rail and ship traffic affect accident and collision rates? Toxic air pollution crosses the Pacific Ocean from Asia to the west coast of the United States; what would be the local public health impacts of Powder River Basin coal combustion in Asia?

COST TO TAXPAYERS: How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs affiliated with coal transport and export? Will such direct and indirect costs include necessary upgrades and additions to rail infrastructure; safety measures; public health expenses; the building of under- and overpasses and other attempts at mitigating adverse impacts; lost local businesses and jobs; damaged tourism trade; and decreased property values?



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington
98004-4511



WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

Kurt Van Burklee

Janette Van Burklee

10/22/2012

Kurt Van Burklee
Janette Van Burklee



EVERETT WA 9822

Date:

Signature(s):

I/we live within 1 mile of the BNSF rail line on which up to 18 additional daily coal trains (9 full, 9 empty) would travel, if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement encompass the entire transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

NOISE: How will the noise and vibrations of unusually long, heavy and frequent trains impact property values and the structural integrity of homes and other buildings close to the tracks? How will chronic noise exposure affect the health and quality of life of people living, working, and playing nearby?

TRAFFIC PROBLEMS: How will the coal trains affect motor vehicle traffic, transportation, emergency vehicle response times and the flow of commerce in communities along the rail corridor?

FISHERIES & THE SALISH SEA: How will tourism; boating; collision risks; oil/coal spill risks; salmon, crab and herring fisheries; orca whales; and the

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

general beauty, vitality, and livability of the Salish Sea and environs be affected by coal port construction and operations, and by the over 950 annual transits of immense coal ships?

HUMAN HEALTH & SAFETY: How will cancer, heart disease, asthma and other health risks be affected by air and water pollutions associated with coal transport and export? How will additional rail and ship traffic affect accident and collision rates? Toxic air pollution crosses the Pacific Ocean from Asia to the west coast of the United States; what would be the local public health impacts of Powder River Basin coal combustion in Asia?

COST TO TAXPAYERS: How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs affiliated with coal transport and export? Will such direct and indirect costs include necessary upgrades and additions to rail infrastructure; safety measures; public health expenses; the building of under- and overpasses and other attempts at mitigating adverse impacts; lost local businesses and jobs; damaged tourism trade; and decreased property values?



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington
98004-4511



Ken Johnson
KEN JOHNSON
Name(s):

10-23-12
10-23-12
Date:

Ken Johnson
Signature(s):

I/we live within 1 mile of the BNSF rail line on which up to 18 additional daily coal trains (9 full, 9 empty) would travel, if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement encompass the entire transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

NOISE: How will the noise and vibrations of unusually long, heavy and frequent trains impact property values and the structural integrity of homes and other buildings close to the tracks? How will chronic noise exposure affect the health and quality of life of people living, working, and playing nearby?

TRAFFIC PROBLEMS: How will the coal trains affect motor vehicle traffic, transportation, emergency vehicle response times and the flow of commerce in communities along the rail corridor?

FISHERIES & THE SALISH SEA: How will tourism; boating; collision risks; oil/coal spill risks; salmon, crab and herring fisheries; orca whales; and the

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

general beauty, vitality, and livability of the Salish Sea and environs be affected by coal port construction and operations, and by the over 950 annual transits of immense coal ships?

HUMAN HEALTH & SAFETY: How will cancer, heart disease, asthma and other health risks be affected by air and water pollutions associated with coal transport and export? How will additional rail and ship traffic affect accident and collision rates? Toxic air pollution crosses the Pacific Ocean from Asia to the west coast of the United States; what would be the local public health impacts of Powder River Basin coal combustion in Asia?

COST TO TAXPAYERS: How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs affiliated with coal transport and export? Will such direct and indirect costs include necessary upgrades and additions to rail infrastructure; safety measures; public health expenses; the building of under- and overpasses and other attempts at mitigating adverse impacts; lost local businesses and jobs; damaged tourism trade; and decreased property values?



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington
98004-4511



Emily Cummins
Name(s):

Oct 24, 12
Date:

[Signature]
Signature(s):

I/we live within 1 mile of the BNSF rail line on which up to 18 additional daily coal trains (9 full, 9 empty) would travel, if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement encompass the entire transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

NOISE: How will the noise and vibrations of unusually long, heavy and frequent trains impact property values and the structural integrity of homes and other buildings close to the tracks? How will chronic noise exposure affect the health and quality of life of people living, working, and playing nearby?

TRAFFIC PROBLEMS: How will the coal trains affect motor vehicle traffic, transportation, emergency vehicle response times and the flow of commerce in communities along the rail corridor?

FISHERIES & THE SALISH SEA: How will tourism; boating; collision risks; oil/coal spill risks; salmon, crab and herring fisheries; orca whales; and the

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

general beauty, vitality, and livability of the Salish Sea and environs be affected by coal port construction and operations, and by the over 950 annual transits of immense coal ships?

HUMAN HEALTH & SAFETY: How will cancer, heart disease, asthma and other health risks be affected by air and water pollutions associated with coal transport and export? How will additional rail and ship traffic affect accident and collision rates? Toxic air pollution crosses the Pacific Ocean from Asia to the west coast of the United States; what would be the local public health impacts of Powder River Basin coal combustion in Asia?

COST TO TAXPAYERS: How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs affiliated with coal transport and export? Will such direct and indirect costs include necessary upgrades and additions to rail infrastructure; safety measures; public health expenses; the building of under- and overpasses and other attempts at mitigating adverse impacts; lost local businesses and jobs; damaged tourism trade; and decreased property values?

EVERETT WA 982

24 OCT 2012 PM 3



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington
98004-4511



Marguerite Stroosma-Jacobs
Name(s):

10/23/12
Date:

Marguerite Stroosma-Jacobs
Signature(s):

I/we live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:


OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?

BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?

ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511



WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

Name(s): ERIC J. ELLISON Date: 10-11-2012 Signature(s): Eric J Ellison

98004-4511

/we live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. /we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?

BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:


ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?

EVERETT WA 982

OCT 2012 PM 4 T



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511



Name(s): Stella Bennett Date: 10/12/12 Signature(s): Stella Bennett

I/we live on an island in the Salish Sea, where coal ships would make over 950 transits per year if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were to be built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement include the entire coal transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

OIL/COAL SPILL RISKS: How will GPT's marine vessel traffic increase collision risks with tankers and other cargo ships in the area? What would be the effects on our region of a catastrophic oil and/or coal spill?

BOATING & SAFETY: How might fishing and recreational boating be affected by the additional capesize and Panamax coal ships in our waters? By how much will accident and collision rates increase?

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:


ORCA, MARINE MAMMALS & BIRDS: How would the noise, pollution and physical presence of the additional huge vessels affect our orca populations? How would construction and operation of the coal port and the continuous transiting of coal ships affect other marine mammals, fish, birds, and the food web that supports them?

SALMON & FISHERIES: How would construction and operation of the coal port; up to 100 acres of pulverized coal in open, near-shore storage; and the coal ships themselves (size, pollution, noise, anchor dragging, etc) impact the crab, herring and salmon fisheries?

TOURISM & OTHER ECONOMIC COSTS: How would lost beauty, decreased orca populations, damaged fisheries and more crowded waterways affect our tourism industry? How would property values be affected? How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs directly and indirectly associated with GPT?

EVERETT WA 982

22 OCT 2012 PM 4 T



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington 98004-4511



Name(s):

Date:

Signature(s):

I/we live within 1 mile of the BNSF rail line on which up to 18 additional daily coal trains (9 full, 9 empty) would travel, if the Gateway Pacific Terminal were built. I/we request that the GPT Environmental Impact Statement encompass the entire transportation corridor so that communities along the rail and marine routes are given due consideration. Questions that concern me, and which objective, rigorous and comprehensive studies should address include:

NOISE: How will the noise and vibrations of unusually long, heavy and frequent trains impact property values and the structural integrity of homes and other buildings close to the tracks? How will chronic noise exposure affect the health and quality of life of people living, working, and playing nearby?

TRAFFIC PROBLEMS: How will the coal trains affect motor vehicle traffic, transportation, emergency vehicle response times and the flow of commerce in communities along the rail corridor?


FISHERIES & THE SALISH SEA: How will tourism; boating; collision risks; oil/coal spill risks; salmon, crab and herring fisheries; orca whales; and the

WRITE IN ANY ADDITIONAL CONCERNS HERE:

Bertil van Boer

Margaret Fast-van Boer

10/23/12



Margaret Fast - van Boer

Name(s):


Date:

Signature(s):

general beauty, vitality, and livability of the Salish Sea and environs be affected by coal port construction and operations, and by the over 950 annual transits of immense coal ships?

HUMAN HEALTH & SAFETY: How will cancer, heart disease, asthma and other health risks be affected by air and water pollutions associated with coal transport and export? How will additional rail and ship traffic affect accident and collision rates? Toxic air pollution crosses the Pacific Ocean from Asia to the west coast of the United States; what would be the local public health impacts of Powder River Basin coal combustion in Asia?

COST TO TAXPAYERS: How much will we, the taxpayers, ultimately pay for costs affiliated with coal transport and export? Will such direct and indirect costs include necessary upgrades and additions to rail infrastructure; safety measures; public health expenses; the building of under- and overpasses and other attempts at mitigating adverse impacts; lost local businesses and jobs; damaged tourism trade; and decreased property values?



GPT/BNSF Custer Spur EIS Co-Lead Agencies
1100 112th Avenue Northeast, Suite 400
Bellevue, Washington
98004-4511

