

Comment relates to box checked: Multiple/not listed

I checked **multiple**, however, it does include all of the above items listed.

Topic areas could again be all inclusive but focus is on **Quality of Life for all**: humans, plants, land animals and water creatures that, therefore, must include both Air quality and Water quality of fresh water, ground water, estuaries and the ocean itself. Coal trains and coal export terminals would introduce fossil fuel contaminants which would degrade both air and water quality and thus threaten the health of all life forms

Q How can one justify contaminating a clean energy region of the USA by introducing fossil fuel contaminates into our environmental friendly area?

The Pacific Northwest is one of the few remaining areas of North America least impacted by all the negative effects of fossil fuels. We are a “clean” area which utilizes hydroelectric power and more recently, wind power, and with recent advancements in solar, even in our overcast, rainy coastal areas, we are seeing more solar energy being utilized.

Q. How do you tally the potential environmental and health costs of the Pacific NW against the economic benefits derived by the state of Montana (coal mining industry) and rail transportation interests?

It is openly admitted that coal must be transported in open cars. New infrastructure must be built to provide CLEAN alternative energy, NOT go into outdated, destructive coal based energy use. The so-called economic gain will be felt by few, but many will feel it's consequences in their lungs.

Q. Why should the USA be complicit in advancing climate change by providing fossil fuels to China?

Everyone stands to lose. Since the aftermath of the storm “Sandy” even diehards are belatedly acknowledging global warming/climate change. We know fossil fuels are a major culprit.